

Spearhead

£1.50

No. 345 NOVEMBER 1997

ISSN 1364-7105

DARK WARNING

"The north is intent on recolonising the south by means of 'globalisation'. If the Third World is not offered its rightful share of global wealth, it should use 'people mobility' as the ultimate weapon. We should migrate north in the millions, legally or illegally... Masses of Asians and Africans should inundate Europe and America."

**— Indonesian Prime Minister Mahathir bin Mohammed
at the Southern African Dialogue, Botswana, May 1997**



WHAT WE THINK

MI5 surveillance: who should be the targets?

Something of a furore was caused last month when a former MI5 agent, David Shaylor, blew the gaff on some of the operations of Britain's foremost national security organisation. He spoke of the files that his former chiefs had on a number of people deemed to be possible security risks because of their connections with the far left of politics. Labour's Peter Mandelson, for example, was 'outed' by Shaylor as having been under surveillance on account of his membership, when 18 years old, of the Young Communist League — an exposure that led to Mandelson nearly blowing a gasket.

Shaylor's general line was that such surveillance, including phone-taps of the people concerned, was totally unjustified in an allegedly 'free' society, and that groups like MI5 had far too much power, which they were prone to abuse. This analysis was enthusiastically endorsed by a number of articles in the politically correct press. Particularly under fire was the security chiefs' alleged cold war 'paranoia' long after the cold

war had ceased to exist. Some writers demanded to know why MI5 was preoccupied by subversives on the left but not subversives on the right.

The latter allegation is in fact not true. So-called 'far right' groups have regularly been the objects of MI5 attention over the years — on the admission of no less a person than former MI5 boss Stella Rimington — though it is difficult to justify such a practice.

The proper function of a nation's security services is to keep watch on the activities of people who might pose a threat to national security. This description could fit anyone whose political loyalties are to organisations and causes sympathetic, to one degree or another, to the idea of a 'world order' to which the interests of the nation-state (their own, that is) must be subordinated.

This does not mean that such a person necessarily would consciously or willingly engage in an act of treason, only that he or she, because of misguided ideals about 'world peace' or the 'brotherhood of man', could be prone to manipulation by others quite prepared to commit treason.

Organisations and individuals ranging from left to centre in their orientation could therefore justify surveillance by state security services on those grounds. Organisations and individuals of the 'far right' surely could not. The 'far right' is supposed to stand for the 'extremes' of nationalism and patriotism. One may question whether these are a good thing, but one could hardly claim that they are subversive or a threat to national security. By definition, the 'extreme' nationalist or patriot is the person most concerned of anyone to oppose subversion and protect national security.

If MI5 or any other national security services

feel it within their remit to keep surveillance over people or groups of the 'far right', this would suggest that priorities other than those of national security have taken over. If so, we are entitled to know what they are.

As for 'cold war' paranoia, here is where one can sympathise with MI5's critics. In fact the criticism could be taken further. Not only is such paranoia ridiculous in the 1990s, to a great extent it was so previously.

In the 'cold war', the Soviet Union and its satellites were certainly engaged in subversion against Britain, but they were not the only ones. The same could be said of the promoters of European Union — and indeed those in Britain who worked for the hegemony of the United States over this country.

Were, for instance, those who passed secrets concerning Britain's nuclear bomb capacity to the Soviets any the less subversive, not to say traitorous, than those who earlier had performed the same services for the Americans?

It is high time that we in Britain straightened out our thinking concerning national security and its requirements, for until we do the proper functions of our state security services are going to be confused in a mass of fog.

Dodging the real issue

It is the 'in-season' for tampering with long-standing British institutions. We have had the referenda in Scotland and Wales for the setting up of local parliaments. The new Government now has legislation in the pipeline for abolishing the voting rights of hereditary peers — an obvious sop to those in the Labour Party who want to get rid of the House of Lords altogether. In the meantime, agitation is building up for the Monarchy to be 'modernised'. While this does not go quite as far as abolition, it calls for much of the traditional royal protocol to be swept away; for the Queen to be more 'accessible' and 'in touch'; and for sweeping economies in the upkeep of royal symbols, beginning with the decision not to replace the royal yacht *Britannia*, which has already been taken.

All this is explained away as a response to growing public demand. But just who has whipped up this demand? Were the ordinary man or woman in the street in Scotland or Wales so tremendously anxious to have these parliaments (for which they will have to pay extra in taxes) before certain politicians and newspapers put the idea in their heads? Was there — and is there now — really such a groundswell of opposition to the House of Lords and the way it works? Do Mr. and Mrs. Average really want a royal family who look and behave just the same as they do?

We suspect not — except to the extent that the politically correct chattering classes have implanted these ideas in the their heads.

There is a crucial question to ask about all these institutional changes: are they going to make Britain one iota better governed or a better country?

Spearhead

No. 345 NOVEMBER 1997

c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

Editor: John Tyndall Assistant Editor: Tom North

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its Editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and do not necessarily represent the views of the editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of the month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts for articles for possible publication. The most useful lengths are either around 1,000 or 1850 words. Preferred format is Windows Write or ASCII format on 3" computer disk or typed in double spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles should ensure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and to *Spearhead*, and to include in the acknowledgement the full name and address of the magazine.

Take devolution for Scotland and Wales. Is it going to improve things for the people there? Is it going to bring them greater economic prosperity. Is it going to make government there any more enlightened or efficient?

Hardly. The new parliaments in Scotland and Wales are going to be made up of people of the same political class that has made an almighty mess of the United Kingdom. It'll just mean that in some affairs those to blame for the mess won't be so far away!

And just what is the abolition of the powers of hereditary peers going to achieve? At least some of these peers are people of a great deal more intelligence and wisdom than their counterparts in the Commons. The Lords in the future, if certain people have their way, is going to be comprised of nothing better than faithful and loyal party hacks — put there by the party bosses in reward for services rendered to their parties, not to the nation.

And what of a more 'democratic' Monarchy — with expenses trimmed? Is the money saved going to benefit the ordinary British family? Perhaps by a few pence a month. Is it going to make the run-down British economy boom, with new industries sprouting up everywhere? Not exactly.

Though *Spearhead* and the British National Party are, broadly-speaking, traditionalist in outlook, we would not shirk scrapping certain traditions where it could be demonstrated as essential to making Britain a better-run country.

But we are deeply suspicious of those who are always demanding institutional change for which they can produce no evidence of real national benefits.

The smirk gets wiped off Bambi's face

Poor Prime Minister Blair! After a long political honeymoon in which he had no contact with any crowds except the adoring and the sycophantic, and in which he was able to glide serenely from one public engagement to another with his perpetual plastic smile undisturbed, he had his first encounter with grim reality when visiting Belfast last month. Angry loyalists heckled him and jostled him in the city centre and called him 'traitor'. The smile turned to a look of alarm and fear.

Bambi's purpose for being there was very clear. He had come to Northern Ireland not to meet the loyalist people and listen to their concerns but to shake hands with Sinn Fein leader Gerry Adams. Even this had to be done in a contemptibly deceitful way. No cameras were permitted behind the closed doors where the handshake took place, and when asked afterwards whether he had in fact taken the bloodstained mitt of this notorious apologist for terrorism he did not have the gumption to give an honest reply, only dribbling something about treating Adams "in the same way as I treat any human being."

Adams a human being? That's news!

The press were of course only too ready to condemn the behaviour of the loyalists who gave Blair this unaccustomed reception; terms like 'raw hatred', 'screaming abuse', etc. were bandied about indiscriminately. But was the crowd's hostility to Blair not wholly justified?

Blair later tried to explain his pals' act with Adams by saying:-

"It is a simple choice: Either we carry on with the hatred, despair and killing, or treat people as if they are part of humanity and settle our differences by discussion and debate. We have an opportunity; we must seize it now because we may not see it again in our lifetime."

All this sounds very fine in the abstract, but Mr. Blair should tell us just what there is to discuss. Sinn Fein has made its position abundantly clear. Only a week previously, its chief negotiator, Martin McGuinness, said that when talks resumed the following day the party would rule out all options other than a united Ireland. Speaking at a republican rally in Coalisland, Co. Tyrone, he said:-

"Sinn Fein is not going to the negotiating table to strengthen the union — we are going to the negotiating table to smash the union."

In case the point had not struck home, Adams confirmed on the day of his meeting that only a united Ireland could satisfy his party's demands. And if Adams had anything other than contempt for the 'peace process' why did he, only hours previously, hail as heroes two IRA terrorists killed on bombing missions in London?

Of course, an uncompromising commitment to a united Ireland has always been Sinn Fein's position, as we in this magazine have repeatedly said. This is what makes all the so-called 'peace talks' just a pathetic charade. The previous government knew it. Tony Blair knows it. So what is there to talk about — if Blair and his Government are not bent on the surrender of Northern Ireland and betrayal of its loyal people?

What clearly rattled Blair when he was in Belfast last month was that at last he met some folk who knew the truth!

True to type

"Lawyer jailed for taking £218,000" was the headline on a medium-sized article in the *Daily Telegraph* of 2nd October. The predictable reaction of "ho hum, what's new?" nearly led us to skip the piece altogether, for if all the lawyers who regularly take money to which they are not morally entitled were to be locked up, there would be very few left.

In this case, however, the report turned out to be well worth reading. It told how Lewis Ruskin, 58, stole from the estates of a dead brother and sister, and filched money awarded to clients for industrial accidents. He even took cash from the medical damages paid to a little girl who suffered cerebral palsy.

Not content with stealing from his most vulnerable clients, Ruskin, who had expensive offices in Mayfair, also 'cooked the books' and submitted vastly inflated legal bills. As a result of all of this, he was jailed for eighteen months on nine charges of false accounting, six of theft and one of forgery.

The really noteworthy matter which emerged during the case, however, involved deceit and corruption of a different kind. For, in mitigation, the defence drew attention to Lewis Ruskin's charity work, which had brought him into contact with the Duchess of York. Furthermore, the court was told, the defendant was a life-long campaigner against 'racism', being none other than the founder of *Searchlight* magazine!

With the addition of fraud, the list of crimes perpetrated by members of the *Searchlight* team grows even longer. Gables's burglaries; Carpel's arson; Hill's thieving and assault on a police officer; racial attacks on Asians; blackmailing Jewish businessmen racial slurs against blacks — you name it, they've done it. Including furthering the genocide of the white race, a crime for which they, and various other people, will answer one fine day.

MPs warn of threat from the far right

By CECILY WOOLF

Prominent anti-racist campaigners highlighted the continuing threat posed by the far right during a Labour Party conference fringe meeting organised by Poale Zion.

Ilford South MP Mike Gapes cited examples of hate mail sent within his multi-racial constituency and expressed the hope that government legislation would toughen the penalties against racist activity.

MEP Glyn Ford spoke of his disgust at learning of a new "concentration camp" computer game, where the object was to gas as many Jews as possible. Like fellow Euro-MP Robert Evans, Mr Ford stressed the importance of exerting pressure on European Union nations to take a strong stand against any form of racist activity.

Jewish Council for Racial Equality chairman Dr Richard Stone told the meeting that he was pursuing his campaign to prohibit any future TV election broadcasts from the British National Party.

This year's televised BNP address sparked over 70 complaints to the broadcasting industry watchdog.

Board of Deputies senior vice-president Jo Wagerman added that racism on the Internet and efforts by the Ku Klux Klan to establish a British base were other areas of concern.

Black-Jewish MP Oona King and Eli Goldschmidt, MK — the conference delegate from the Israeli Labour Party — also addressed the meeting at Brighton's Royal Albion Hotel.

Poale Zion's Lawrie Nerva presided.

CYBERNATION?

FRANK KIMBAL-JOHNSON looks at the nation and the internet

THE EXPANSION of the Internet signals the demise of nationhood within the next few decades. This is the view of numerous academics, computer experts and media pundits. They contend that the emerging 'global community' linked by computer networks is invalidating traditional ideas of nationhood based on geography, kinship and a unique cultural heritage.

Already, it is pointed out, the Internet cocks a snook at all governments in matters of international trading, censorship, freedom of expression, civil rights, jurisdiction, taxation, security and many other things ordinarily subject to official constraints of one kind or another. This anarchic state of the Internet has already generated demands from some quarters for some kind of legislative framework and policing based on international agreements. Some governments, notably those of Germany and the USA, have already gone to court to try to ban certain types of material being trafficked on the Internet. However, their efforts are doomed to fail because, as with armaments embargoes, other countries promptly take over the market for the items in question and there is no practicable way of denying access to anything on the Internet. For example, the 'neo-Nazi' material which Compuserve (the biggest Service Provider giving access to the Internet) agreed to ban under pressure from the German government remains readily available to any computer-user via North American sources which still enjoy freedom of speech.

However quite apart from this ability to bypass the legislative controls of individual governments, it is argued that Internet users are becoming a global community with its own protocols and conventions, a so-called 'Cybernation'. And in time, the argument runs, this entity will become increasingly autonomous and will siphon off most of the traditional functions of nation-states (much as the European Union is doing to its member states).

Problem for governments

There is no doubt that much of a government's power depends on its ability to monitor most socio-economic transactions involving the transfer of goods and services; otherwise its revenue-gathering and regulatory activities would be impracticable. But this ability is based on conventional paperwork and physical checks of some kind or other; and as these are replaced by ephemeral electronic messages the administrative problems soon become formidable (as auditors know only too well).

Moreover, all official regulations are confined to a government's geographical area of jurisdiction; they have no force whatsoever in the global Internet domain, so-called 'cyberspace'. Just as significant is the Internet challenge to Establishment propaganda and news management, since the computer user has instant access to world-wide news and information sources on virtually every subject under the sun. We are free at last of 'official handouts', edited news and politically-correct versions of events; and that freedom fatally undermines any totalitarian regime. The Iron Curtain was effectively torn down when Soviet citizens gained increasing access to global radio and TV broadcasts. As one US President shrewdly observed: "The Kremlin is more afraid of us dropping Sears-Roebuck mail-order catalogues on the country than it is of nuclear missiles."

But whether or not the Internet and its 'global community' invalidates nation-states depends of course on your definition of nationhood. Is a nation simply a mass of

race, religion and territory since their introduction, and neither will the Internet. A nation cannot be created by *diktat* or international agreement, or a shared technology; it is an historical entity forged by kindred folk through many generations of sacrifice, dedication and communal effort. It is indeed a matter of blood, sweat and tears, not mere bureaucracy and legalistic definitions.

Acquiring a telephone doesn't mean you have anything new to say. And unless you are one of the shallow-minded 'nerds', having a computer linked to the Internet doesn't change your basic outlook and fundamental loyalties to any significant extent. Indeed, there is every probability that advances in communications technology will not so much shrink the world to a 'global village' but emphasize and highlight the really fundamental divisions of humanity along lines of race, religion, cultural heritage and territory

Loyalty

Depend upon it: the medium is most definitely not the message. Notwithstanding its impact on legal, economic, commercial, government and other transactions, therefore, the Internet does not affect the basic loyalty that binds people into an indivisible nation-state. Those who contend otherwise may know a great deal about computers, but they demonstrate a woeful ignorance of human nature and history. It is a philosophical truism that all the advances of science and technology have not been accompanied by any significant change in human nature; all they have done is to provide more powerful and sophisticated means for expressing the same old motives — good and bad — which drove our primitive ancestors. The motives behind the slingshot and the ballistic missile remain the same; likewise those behind the smoke signal and the Fax transmission, and behind the donkey-cart and the jet airliner. Technology serves the psychopath, criminal and traitor just as faithfully as it serves the enlightened philanthropist and patriot; the ends may or may not justify the means, but they always make the most of them.

So let us use the Internet as we use everything else, to promote the best interests of our national culture and kinfolk and safeguard our children's future. Without that motivation it becomes little more than an idiot's bauble. With that motivation it is fast becoming one of the most powerful weapons in our armoury.



With the addition of pictures and sound, the BNP Website is becoming one of the most professional political sites in Britain. Take a look on <http://www.webcom.com/bnp>

people either of diverse races or of common descent, language and history, within a defined geographical boundary? Does the Jewish diaspora across the world constitute a nation? It is the either/or question that separates true nationalism from the synthetic citizenship peddled by 'one world' politicians, who see the Internet as an untidily evolving instrument of a future world government. Naturally therefore, they are clamouring for some form of international regulation of Internet traffic, partly by concerted government action and partly by commercial takeovers of the network providers. And there is little doubt that the self-same people who have achieved such dominance of the mass media in the United States, Britain and most other 'democracies' are desperately keen to take over the Internet, recognising as they do that it has the potential to destroy their power and influence in the world.

Meanwhile let us not be overawed by techno-babble and media pundits. In fact, radio, TV, telephones and communication satellites have not changed the *realpolitik* of

Wallowing in filth

IAN BUCKLEY attacks another display of decadent 'art'



THIS IS not a fogue-like attack on modernism. The modern movement in the arts has produced a fair number of interesting works that diagonalise the twentieth-century malaise. If you haven't read the remarkable passage in James Joyce's *Ulysses* that ends "Old England was dying," then maybe now is the time to take a look before the Race Law tyrants decide to blot it out. But what I want to discuss here is the art that goes beyond the line of describing a sick society, and becomes itself part of the sickness, part of the problem.

The last few centuries have seen the decline of public fresco painting and the rise of easel pictures. Thus art has become a commodity, an investment to be stuck in a bank vault, and ceased to be a public spiritual enrichment. Dealers promote a given type of art, customers pay inflated prices for it and inferior works are hyped to ever higher prices in an endless circle. Fake artists make a great deal of money offering fashionable squalor and obscenity to the jaded *nouveau-riche*.

In Britain today this sort of 'art' is associated with the name of Charles Saatchi. Readers will probably already have heard of his 'Sensations' collection at the Royal Academy. At least Saatchi is not predictable. Just when you think he's reached the bottom, he manages to go a little lower.

Cultural enrichment

Some of the stars of the Saatchi exhibition should also have had their works shown in Australia, but practicalities hampered the cultural enrichment of that distant land. Marc Quinn's head made from frozen blood was unable to complete its air journey, despite being wrapped in numerous ice-filled bags.

Chris Ofili's painting in elephant dung was subject to numerous delays before it reached the Museum of Contemporary Art in Sydney. Australian Customs took an interest in the unusual painting medium, and argued that the importation of faeces into Australia was prohibited by quarantine regulations. The British Council had to be brought in to smooth the passage of this piece of 'art' into Australia. That's the same British Council that arranged the Antipodean visits of Laurence Olivier and the Royal Shakespeare Company during the 1950s. How times change!

Cosmopolitan internationalists like the Saatchis not only sponsor junk art, but their enormous wealth and influence additionally ensure that once-respectable institutions like the Royal Academy and British Council are also dragged down and turned into promoters of pernicious rubbish. The Royal Academy exhibition naturally features a full complement of pickled animals by Damien Hirst, including a shark and neatly

bisected pig. The dismembered cow, a particular Saatchi obsession, also appears, this time in the form of a long frieze. The cow *motif* recurs in another work by Hirst, which must have had the attendants itching to grab the cans of Flit — an encased jam model of a cow's head surrounded by thousands of flies. I'm not sure what it all means in psychological terms, but this one exhibition certainly has enough material in it to keep whole teams of psychologists writing papers for years!

Thomas Crunfeld, another Saatchi favourite, specialises in creating fake animals by mixing different bits of different species. He imaginatively produces stuffed creatures such as a sheepdog with a sheep's head. Bat-winged fawns or fox-headed pheasants gaze mutely from the display cases. Pope Julius had the Sistine Chapel, Napoleon had the Arc de Triomphe, but Charles Saatchi's got a crow-headed codfish!

The exhibition item that really caused outrage was the portrait of Myra Hindley made from child-sized handprints. The quite justifiable reaction to this picture has obscured the fact that this was exactly what the artist and exhibitors desired. Evidently they reckoned that publicity would attract more curious punters who would hand over their £7 fees to view the notorious collection. Sensation was also served by inviting the bereaved parents of the murdered children to a cheese-and-wine viewing of the Hindley portrait. How thoughtful and generous! But presumably Mrs. Winnie Johnson and Mrs. Ann West might prefer to attend a different sort of hanging.

Taste and talent

As a taster to the giant Myra Hindley portrait, an adjacent room features the dismembered dummies created by the Chapman brothers. Mannequins with scattered heads, legs and arms are tied up and displayed on a tree. You want more? The dummies also have weirdly displaced genitals! After all this, the 'sexualised furniture' of Sarah Lucas comes almost as a relief. This exhibit is just two fried eggs on a table, like something from a 'Carry On' film.

But perhaps we should be grateful to the Royal Academy for putting on such a show, with its revealing glimpse of these people's strange mind-set. In the healthier future that is to come, people will look back in incomprehension and disbelief at such events. Did people really visit such exhibitions of filth, at a time when one in three children grew up in poverty, when one in four males underwent enforced idleness, frequently disguised as 'early retirement', when politicians crowed in triumph whenever skilled industrial workers were driven to take 'jobs' in junk food outlets for £2.80 an hour, when 15 million British citizens were poorly nourished and liable to disease, when damp and leaky ceilings collapsed in our neglected schools?

Poisonous fads seem to flourish during periods of crisis. Maybe they act as distractions for the weak-minded. Millions of citizens are in debt and virtually living hand-to-mouth but they manage each week to find the money for the TV stamps. What do they see? Idiot gameshows. Adverts that scream: 'Ring 0891 — Dial a gay — Ring 0891 — Dial a gay.' Or 'entertainment' like BBC1's *Confessions*, which specialises in humiliating its victims. And, all the time, it's open season on white, heterosexual males.

Like the Saatchi exhibition, such programming represents the high-tide of filth and degeneracy.

The worst is almost ended, the tide will turn — and our day will come!

THE 'NEW' TORYISM: JUST MORE OF THE SAME

JOHN TYNDALL takes a look at the Hague 'Revolution' and asks: could there be anything more pitiful?

THE TORIES just don't seem to get it, do they? After an electoral catastrophe, underlining a deep and potentially terminal sickness in their party with rapidly haemorrhaging membership and looming financial bankruptcy, they seem to be capable of producing nothing in the way of change save even more soporific doses of the same liberalism and political correctness that have lost them their best supporters and reduced them to a feeble caricature of a party, with no better idea than to don the clothes of their opponents and become more Blairite than Tony Blair.

Even before last month's conference, the signs were in the wind. Master Hague, splendid in baseball cap, had visited the Notting Hill Carnival and paid his respects by pronouncing it Britain's most important cultural event. Shortly previous to that, he had declared to a party meeting that he wanted to see more Black and Asian Tory MPs. There is no possibility that these were 'off-the-cuff' gestures originating in no more than some momentary personal impulse; politics just do not work that way these days. Young William would have carefully consulted his panel of advisers, image-makers and spin-doctors before making such moves. The blatant wooing of ethnic minority support is clearly an important item on the 'New' Tory agenda. All the pros and cons concerning it will have been weighed carefully in the balance. The 'racist' vote will have been dismissed contemptuously on the calculation that — 'fringe' parties apart — it has nowhere else to go; what might have been lost on the roundabouts is reckoned to be more than compensated by what can be gained on the swings. Principle, of course, has nothing whatever to do with any of this; all that matters is point-scoring in the party game.

More 'trendy' than Labour

The Tories clearly have been enormously impressed by 'New Labour', assuming that that party's shiny, youthful, 'swinging' image has been the key factor in its electoral landslide victory — not thinking for a moment that the true cause might lie in the British people being

prepared to vote for a collection of rosetted baboons if it meant getting them (the Tories) out. Every revolting feature of the Blairites has been taken on board — with a few more added for good measure.

Of course, part of the Tories' dilemma has been the woeful collapse of their appeal to the young. A recent pamphlet compiled by four party whizz-kids titled *Blue Skies Ahead* drew attention to the fact that Young Conservative membership in 1949 was 160,000, whereas it has now dropped to a mere 3,000. In the patronising way that has become *de rigueur* in contemporary politics, the Tories have assumed that appealing to youth means pandering to childishness, self-indulgence, irresponsibility and every other socially damaging tendency of the late 20th century. They have fallen for the fashionable leftish idiocy that political and moral issues are a matter of young versus old instead of wrong versus right.

Calculated ploy

Just William booked a double-room to share with his fiancée at Blackpool, not because the thought of a week's celibacy was unbearable to him, but as a calculated ploy in the bid to be seen 'modern' and therefore 'youth-oriented'. No doubt, Hague's spin-doctors were also keen to dispel the widespread rumour in Tory and homosexual circles that his support for the right of adult perverts to bugger schoolboys has a personal motive. The eyebrows raised among older Tories, including Lady Thatcher, were seen as a positive asset in this quest.

Long before the conference, the Hague team had set the style for the new era of Conservatism. "We have not listened attentively enough to what our members and the public have been saying," they proclaimed. The 'listening' leadership became the new gimmick, and William & Co. embarked on a highly publicised nationwide tour to demonstrate this new-found virtue. The stunt continued at the conference itself, with delegates invited up onto the platform to say their piece in front of the TV cameras.

The charade was pathetic. Real leadership, in any era and of whatever political persuasion, knows instinctively what has to be done. If the new Tory hierarchy has to tout its ears around the party's constituencies to ask all and sundry what kind of a programme they think it needs, it clearly has no idea of where it is going. Of course, it is elementary that political leaders, like commanders in war, have their ears to the ground to get a feel of what the rank and file are thinking. But this is merely a guide to morale and how to manage it. It is no substitute for a policy, which should stem from an application of the best brains to the major problems and then firm decisions which owe nothing to the whims of the mob.

Besides, there is something transparently phony about the quest for a 'consensus' which blatantly ignores certain vital questions of public concern, such as immigration, which constitute no-go areas that have been ruled out of any debate before the show even starts. Lord Tebbit, who probably knows a good deal more about grass-roots Tory sentiment than any of the young cubs grouped around the new chief, truly set the cat among the pigeons when at a conference fringe meeting he spoke of the 'divisive force' of multi-culturalism, and urged that people of all minority races in Britain should be prepared to integrate themselves fully into the mainstream population instead of becoming, in his own words, "foreigners holding British passports."

Insurmountable differences

Lord Tebbit was of course speaking tongue-in-cheek; he knows very well that black and Asian people can never be properly integrated with this country's indigenous inhabitants because of ethnic and cultural differences that are insurmountable; but this was just his way of raising the issue in such a manner as to make it acceptable to at least some party folk in Tory conference week.

Quite predictably, Hague responded, not by trying to argue that Tebbit's views were wrong — an argument which would have required a serious debate on the whole pros and cons of immigration and multi-racialism — but merely

"Every revolting feature of the Blairites has been taken on board — with a few more added for good measure"

by dismissing them as 'outdated'. This is quite typical, being a trick taken straight out of the marxist textbook of political warfare: do not argue with people who put forward inconvenient ideas; just dismiss them by calling them 'out of date', by saying that "times have moved on" and that one cannot "put the clock back." This is the sort of mindless verbiage that has paralysed discussion of a whole host of harmful changes wrought upon Britain in the present century. It supposes that a policy is invested with some magical validity merely by its being presented as 'new' while its opponents are depicted as "living in the past."

The truth is that, generally, an idea that is right in its time does not become 'outdated' at a later time — except within the limited context of technology. When politicians talk of something becoming 'outdated', they mean not that the passage of time itself has rendered it wrong but that power is in new hands, with a new agenda, and that they, as aspiring participants in the game of power, feel bound to revise their attitudes.


Surrender of conservatism

Whilst never having been a Tory myself, I can at least respect the person who adheres to the core principle of conservatism: that harmful change should be opposed, and that if, notwithstanding this, it still takes place one should work to reverse it. It is the shame and ruin of the Conservative Party during the 20th century that, instead of standing by this principle, it has embraced the very opposite: that once a change has taken place, however bad its consequences, it should be accepted as a *fait accompli* that is irreversible. Through this policy, Conservatism has staggered from one retreat to another, feebly defending a position for a time then surrendering it, only to move back to another position which is likewise doomed eventually to be surrendered.

All this has been sanctified by the inane dictum that the Tory Party must 'change' in order to survive — a dictum which presupposes that the survival of the Tory Party is an end in itself rather than just a means to an end: namely the preservation of those vital institutions and traditions that over the ages have served to maintain the fabric of the nation.

The appalling condition of the party today is due to the fact that, having long deserted this principle in a series of capitulations, each perceived to be politically expedient at the time, it has exhausted the purpose of its existence. It has become just another section of the gadarine political herd rushing Britain towards the precipice of extinction, indistinguishable from Labour and the Liberal Democrats except in the most superficial details and, like them, sustained only by the rationale of "moving with the times."

Had counsels of wisdom prevailed in the party after the debacle of May 1st this year, a continuation of this suicidal course would



William Hague: wants a blacker, 'gayer' Tory party

have been rejected and a fundamental reversal of policy embraced. Yet far from this happening, what has now transpired is that the same course is being pursued with even greater speed and urgency, with ever more unthinking adoption of the fashionable buzz-words of the moment: 'Progress', 'Modern', 'Reform', 'Compassion', 'Tolerance', 'Caring', etcetera, etcetera, *ad-nauseam*.

Prescription for the new Toryism?

Just before the opening of the Blackpool conference, an article appeared in *The Spectator* of October 4th under the name of Simon Blocklebank-Fowler, who was described as 36 years old and on the Tory candidates' list. Mr. Blocklebank-Fowler's piece was claimed as a statement of "how the party can win back the young," and it appeared under the ominous title 'Be cooler, gayer and less white'.

Mr. Blocklebank-Fowler, like a lot of his kind in the Tory Party, clearly admires 'New Labour'. That party, he said...

"... rediscovered itself as a party which fashionable people want to join, a status it has not enjoyed since the death of Gaitskell. Even outside Islington, New Britons, trained by hours of the world's best television advertising, look at the Blairs and see a couple they want to be: attractive, young, chilled out, with kids dressed to the eyeballs in Polo Sport at 80 quid a pop..."

It gets even worse. Portraying the contrasting Tories, the writer said of them that their problem is that they...

"... do not seem to like any of the people who make the nation's cultural weather:

gays, ethnic minorities and young women. For those who seek confirmation of this view, the Tory parliamentary party represents the distilled preferences of 164 Conservative associations over the last three decades: there is not a single non-white or openly gay Tory MP, and only one woman under 40...

"There has been a predictably disastrous cost in terms of the party's support among its non-traditional support base, the very groups which shape the nation's understanding of itself and who felt most bereft by the death of Diana, Princess of Wales..."

"You don't need to have worked at McKinsey's to see that the bare prerequisite of Conservative electoral victory is the reinvention of the party as a force which accepts what Britain has become..."

It would, of course, be quite futile to point out to the likes of Mr. Blocklebank-Fowler that what is vitally needed to repair the disasters and catastrophes of the past few decades is a movement comprised of people who very decidedly do *not* accept what Britain has become. But of the article as a whole, and particularly its title, one's first impulse might have been to imagine it some kind of send-up — a Peter Simple-type satire on progressive, 'with-it', politically correct Toryism, aimed at provoking a chuckle. It became clear from a reading of the whole, however, that the writer was quite serious — and, not only that, that current party thinking under the Hague *régime* is oriented a great deal in the direction he favours. In the conference shortly afterwards, the great young leader said again

Cont. overleaf

Cont. from page 7

how anxious he was to recruit more 'ethnics', and as if to emphasise this the Tories' first Asian parliamentary candidate, one Geeta Sidhu, mounted the platform to blast Lord Tebbit for "taking the party back ten years when it should be going forward." Once again, note, 'backwards' and 'forwards' instead of an argument about wrong and right! Hague, not to be outdone, suggested that Tebbit should leave the party, while an unnamed supporter was quoted in the *Daily Mail* as calling him a 'dinosaur'.

Fringe meeting

Lord Tebbit was not the only party elder during the conference to outrage those who seek its salvation through "going forward." Alan Clark, at another fringe meeting, caused a tremendous flutter by suggesting that the solution to the problem of the IRA was to bump off its estimated 600 active members in a series of raids on one single night. With these words, Mr. Clark simply echoed a theme that has been repeated with regularity in the columns of this magazine for many years: that we are at war with the IRA and that the policy in any war is to kill your enemy. Such a commonsense action would not, of course, be 'cool' enough for people like Mr. Brocklebank-Fowler nor, we have to suspect, the Tory Party's policy-making caucus. Mr. Clark, like Lord Tebbit, was dismissed as a 'dinosaur' by the Hague team, who apparently continue to see logic in putting the lives of British soldiers at risk defending a part of the United Kingdom while

the Government sits down and negotiates with those whose aim is to conquer it.

Attacking multi-culturalism was not Tebbit's only heresy at the conference. In the same speech he asked: "Why do I share a party with those who advocate sodomite marriage...?" Further on, he called for a party "which supported the orthodox family..." These words were timely but unlikely to endear him to the people now in control, for it was clear at Blackpool that part of the 'cooling' of the Tory Party was going to involve a dropping of its original full-blooded commitment to heterosexual marriage as the social norm. Enter Michael Portillo, whose months out of parliament had evidently convinced him that he had to reinvent himself to get back into the swim. Showing just how sincere had been his original posture as a 'hard man' of the Right, he used another fringe meeting, organised by the Centre for Policy Studies, to stake out a new position as a 'carer' and 'mover with the times':-

"Our society has changed. For good or ill, many people nowadays don't get married, yet have stable families with children... We admire many people who are doing an excellent job raising children on their own."

All very fine — as long as one looks at just one side of the picture. The other side Mr. Portillo preferred not to mention — the legions of people who, not bound to their partners by any formal tie, have upped and ditched them when it suited without having to suffer the legal consequences — and often with kids in the middle who have had to suffer hardship

and misery because of the selfishness of one or both of their parents; in fact, as many as 40 per cent of these 'partnerships' end in this way. As for the reference to those doing an excellent job raising children on their own, does this whitewash those partners who have left them in the lurch? And is it supposed to suggest that there are no single mothers who have cynically exploited the benefits system by having children out of wedlock because, under existing conditions, it has paid them to do so?

But Mr. Portillo had not finished. Touching upon 'gay rights', he said:-

"I believe the Conservative Party, in its quiet way, is as capable as any other of comprehending the diversity of human nature.

"For a younger generation in particular, old taboos have given way to less judgemental attitudes to the span of human relationships. There remain many people to whom the new norms seem all wrong. Still, the party never rejects the world that is. Tolerance is part of the Tory tradition."

All this, when stripped of the big words, amounts to a classic affirmation of the leftist-permissive faith: If there are people not yet mature enough to appreciate the need for certain certain social rules, we should capitulate to them and throw away the rules! This is the eternal rationale of societies lacking the will to save themselves from collapse.

Did Mr. Portillo here just speak for himself? Not exactly. In the *Daily Mail* report of his speech it was said that "he won full support from Mr. Hague, who declared that he wanted to be associated 'with every word.'"

If you can't beat 'em, join 'em


Nowhere was the modern Tory spirit of defeatism and abdication better exemplified than in an article in the *Daily Mail* by the veteran journalist and arch-conservative Sir Peregrine Worsthorne, appearing on the 10th October.

Worsthorne confessed that he hated the changes in Tory attitudes but at the same time accepted them. Speaking of queers, he said:-

"Walking through Soho at night, I am often shocked by the disgustingly promiscuous spectacle of homosexual debauchery. And even more shocked by the fact that no-one else seems to notice, so common has that spectacle become. Perhaps I am alone in my views these days, although I suspect not.

"One reaction, as I say, is to mutter through clenched teeth about the need for a cleansing of the Augean stables. But when muttering, one knows that no such cleansing operation is ever going to happen, and that one would be horrified if any government tried to put the clock back by returning to the old brutality."

This is nothing better than a rationale for resignation in the face of evil. One part of Worsthorne is telling him that the spectacle of homos kissing and groping in the street is revolting; that part is the voice of nature speaking from thousands of years of ancestral



'Pink dancers' celebrate at Labour's conference in Brighton. The Tories have decided to court the homosexual lobby, with Michael Portillo — defeated at the last election by an openly queer New Labour hack — leading the about-turn

wisdom. But then this is contradicted by another part: the part represented by his 'liberal' conscience. This shirks the hard, stern measures that would be needed to clean society up. Such measures were not repugnant to our ancestors; they accepted them as the price that had to be paid for stability and order, for a society fit enough to survive and stand up to its enemies. But in the language of today's guilt-stricken liberal these measures amount to 'brutality'. So let's surrender to the debauchery that offends the vast majority of us; resisting it imposes too heavy demands on our flabby resolve. There speaks the authentic voice of the 'New' Conservatism!

In case the reader had not got the point, Sir Peregrine underlined it, thus:-

"One can go to one's grave arguing that a child needs two parents, but realistically speaking no conceivable government — short of a dictatorship — will ever use enough deterrence to be remotely effective. Having reached that conclusion, there is no rational alternative but to take a deep breath and do a U-turn.

"And this, it seems, is what the Conservative Party is in the process of doing..."

Further comment would be superfluous.

Method in the madness?

Disastrous developments in our political life always have two elements, two sides to the story: on the one hand, the idiocy and spinelessness of those who fail to resist them; on the other, the diabolism of those who have an interest in promoting them. It is the old conundrum of Conspiracy or Degeneracy? — this being the title of a book by the great American writer Professor Revilo P. Oliver, who attempted to analyse how far societies are wilfully destroyed by their enemies and how far they hasten their own destruction through the atrophy of their instinct for survival.

Are there brains behind all this apparent Tory brainlessness (or gutlessness — take your pick)? If so, to whom do those brains belong? Hague himself? His reported 'spin-doctor', Alan Duncan? Such a question is pertinent because, as indicated earlier, these politicians, with their eyes hungrily focused on power and office, are not in the habit of saying things off the tops of their heads — at least not those of them who succeed in their ambitions. Is there in the background some ideological genius who, like Peter Mandelson with New Labour, is writing the score and conducting the orchestra, giving those who aspire to be the next government the necessary tuition in what is required of them?

Perhaps some clue was provided in an item in *The Guardian* of October 11th which spoke of a policy and marketing symposium held at Cranborne, Dorset, at the home of the Tory peer of the same name. In a report headed 'HQ ideas man repackaged party', it said:-

"The main force behind this new look is Danny Finkelstein, director of research at Central Office, who set out the strategy in a presentation paper at Cranborne. Mr.

Finkelstein, aged 36, has been an important voice at Central Office over the last few years but a party insider said that his influence has grown since Mr. Hague became leader. 'This is going to be Danny's time.'

"Mr. Finkelstein, irreverent, popular, dishevelled, addicted to Diet Coke rather than good vintages, with a non-plummy accent, looks and sounds as if he would be more at home in the Labour Party. He was a key member of David Owen's Social Democrat Party: while most of them moved to the Liberal Democrats or rejoined the Labour Party, he went to the Conservatives."

All of which builds up to the picture of a travelling snake-oil salesman, complete with dusty briefcase, who has no firm route or itinerary but knows just where and when to pop up to provide medicines to those who think they are in need. Perhaps it is Mr. Finkelstein, after all, and not others, who is the mastermind behind the reinvented Toryism just as Mr. Mandelson is the mastermind behind 'New Labour'. You really have to hand it to these people!

From destruction comes rebirth

In all this gloom, however, there is a ray of light and of hope. The Tory Party, long Britain's main though least suspected gravedigger, is now set on a course of digging its own grave. As long as it had a semblance of credibility as the party which stood for those things best in the nation — however unjustified that credibility may have been, it had political strength. Now it does not even have that latter commodity. And consumed by frustration at its loss of power, it opts not to rebuild and restore by a return to the traditional verities but to scratch about in the discredited 'centre ground', bidding in an undignified auction for the votes and subscriptions of the ignorant, the silly, the irresponsible and the lost — vying with its rivals in the stakes of political correctness, pinching their ideologies, parroting their slogans and aping their death-dance.


This squalid process has the one virtue that it clears the decks of remaining illusions. It leaves no doubt that national resurgence and renewal, the restoration of sanity and order and the achievement of an organic society of British people of recovered health, can only come through the emergence of entirely new political forces — as has happened in parts of Continental Europe.

The process leaves vast numbers of concerned Britons — of the kind who, unlike Sir Peregrine Worsthorne, do not believe that the answer to decadence is to succumb to it — without a political abode, even a rotting roof under which to shelter. It drives them into the cold outdoors, where hard decisions can no longer be postponed, where even 'respectability' no longer provides warmth and succour.

We should welcome the Tories' final suicide rituals — as they open the path for the BNP!

Yuletide Greetings

A selection of superb full-colour greetings cards is available from Planet Prints. The designs are based on traditional Northern European mythology, and are ideal for sending greetings over the coming Yuletide season.



Each card is A5 (210 x 148mm) in size, and comes with a white envelope. The designs are all in full colour.

Titles available include:

Thor wielding Mjolnir, Menhir, Odin at the Bridge of Light, Goddess Ostara, Yggdrasil, Viking Funeral, Father Xmas, Odin Riding Sleipnir, Viking Ships, Celtic Princess, Third Century Angles (NEW), Viking Landing (NEW), Viking Fleet (NEW)

PRICES: £7.50 for a set of 12 cards (any mix of titles - please specify). Send to:

**Planet Prints,
PO Box 62, Uckfield,
Sussex, TN22 1ZY.**

(A range of posters based on similar themes is also available. Please send a S.A.E. for our full colour brochure.)

**"Stir up dissension and
exploit internal differences"**

THE F.B.I. AND THE POLICING OF RADICAL DISSENT IN AMERICA

**An in-depth study by
FRANK MARTELL**



THE PURPOSE of this article is to show how the political police operate in America to suppress the forces opposed to the liberal plutocracy, despite the propaganda to the contrary about how we live in a 'free country.' We will show that in such a land one has to be quite brave to express views that the System regards as beyond the pale — which, in a capitalist state, is anything that smacks of nationalism, communism, anarchism, or theocratic revolution, the last being particularly popular in the US, where cults sprout like dandelions due to our heritage of being a refuge for the religious heretics of Europe. It should be said that in the US, as in all countries, it is illegal to advocate violent revolution but, as we shall see, the efforts of the security services are directed at monitoring, infiltrating, disrupting and ultimately neutralising *all* revolutionary or potentially revolutionary groups, whether or not they advocate violence.

The primary organisation authorised to conduct political surveillance for the purpose of internal security in the US is the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), which sometimes co-operates with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (BATF), but which otherwise jealously guards its prerogatives in this area. When it was revealed through Congressional investigative hearings in the 1970s that both the CIA and military intelligence operated illegally against domestic political groups, it became clear that these outfits did not have the co-operation of the FBI. Nor does the FBI seem to co-operate with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) or even the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) very effectively.

It is unclear as to whether the FBI receives information from the huge (and once super-secret) National Security Agency (NSA)

which, according to James Burnham in *The Puzzle Palace*, listens to all electronic communication around the world and sifts it through its vast computer banks searching for key words in various languages, which conversations are then passed on to agents for analysis. The NSA is the inheritor and ultimate development of the 1940s intelligence-gathering centre at Bletchley Park.

Fortunately, the top officers of this alphabet soup of agencies are careerists who fight one another for territory, and the resulting incompetence works to the benefit of anti-establishment groups, which during times of stress have grown to be quite large.

Flying start

The FBI was set up in 1906 but was not stamped with a character of its own until J. Edgar Hoover took control as Director in 1924 at the age of 29. Hoover came to head the General Intelligence Division (GID) of the FBI in 1919 and in just three months he compiled personal histories on some sixty thousand people thought to be radicals.

Attorney General A. Mitchell Palmer used this information to conduct the 'Red Raids', which began in 1919 and culminated in a gigantic raid of 10,000 aliens in 33 cities in 1920. Since most of these arrests by the FBI were conducted without warrants, most of the aliens were released and few were deported as there was little evidence against them. Nevertheless, Palmer vigorously defended his campaign against 'subversives', whether anarchist or communist, and denied any wrongdoing. Congress did not agree and both Palmer and his FBI chief, William Flynn, eventually lost their jobs in a backlash against the 'Red Scare'.

Flynn was replaced by William Burns, who was equally anti-radical and determined to make the Bureau even more political; as a

result he attacked sympathetic members of Congress and undid himself in 1924. Then in a great sham, probably organized by Herbert Hoover (no relation), J. Edgar Hoover was appointed as a 'reformer' who would lead the FBI out of the fight against 'subversion' and into the fight against crime, despite the fact that his only accomplishments to date were political.

J. Edgar Hoover, as head of the GID, was not only responsible for carrying out the Palmer Raids, he also crippled a resurgent Ku Klux Klan (which had put over 21,000 hooded marchers on the street in Washington D.C.) through infiltration, subversion, illegal wiretapping and entrapment, and he broke a national railroad strike with infiltration that allowed the arrest of 1200 union leaders under a court injunction which forbade any "acts or words" that would interfere with railroad operations. Obviously, Hoover was not the man for the job of carrying the FBI out of politics and into its new crime-fighting mission.

Image-builder

Recognising that the FBI's problem was largely one of public relations and that he had to get his political opponents off the scent, Hoover reorganised the Bureau, setting up the External Affairs Division, which was responsible for protecting and promoting the organisation's image. Hoover was ahead of his time in establishing his own propaganda unit.

Though he was a martinet as a leader, Hoover was an efficient and progressive administrator. He established a national finger-printing centre that local police departments around the country came to rely upon, and a forensics laboratory which adopted the latest scientific techniques, becoming a model for large police departments and a resource for smaller ones.

Hoover also staged public relations events

by first promoting the infamous reputations of various small-time hoodlums and bank robbers such as John Dillinger, Bonnie and Clyde, Pretty Boy Floyd, Ma Barker, etc. and then appearing personally at the capture of as many as possible to slap the cuffs on in front of the cameras once the real danger had subsided. This convinced most people that the FBI really was a crime-fighting organisation, as ordinary citizens had no idea of how rich and powerful organised crime was becoming under the nose of the FBI in the decades from the 1920s to 1960s, extending its operations from New York across the country and internationally with the establishment of gambling casinos in Havana, Cuba, in the 1950s.

Mystery

Though all the experts on the FBI agree that Hoover religiously avoided taking on organised crime, none have offered a convincing theory as to why. Some speculate that Hoover thought the Mafia too powerful, particularly in terms of the political protection it bought, and that any struggle against it was a losing battle. Yet, while Hoover certainly did not like losing, the war against radicalism and subversion was every bit as difficult, and Hoover did not shy away from it. Moreover, the Mafia was not that powerful in the 1920's, and Mussolini had proven in Italy that a concerted effort against it could break it. Some believe that the Mafia had compromising information on Hoover's homosexuality. Others say that Hoover traded protection for information and that he personally met with Mafia leaders (including Gambino in NYC when he became head of the Commission which regulated the Five Families) to do this. Another possibility is, of course, that Hoover was personally on the take, but no evidence of this has ever arisen.

The most likely explanation is never mentioned: That Hoover considered the fight against organised crime a distraction that could absorb so many resources that the FBI would be derailed from its real mission of crushing revolution. The Mafia certainly was no threat to the capitalist political order; indeed, the top leaders of the Mafia in Italy fled under pressure from the Fascists to a land where they could operate freely — the USA. They wanted the System to remain just as it was and, like Hoover, hated both the Fascists and the Communists.

In 1943, when the US wanted to establish a new government in Sicily, it turned to Mafia chief Lucky Luciano, taking him out of prison in New York and 'deporting' him to Sicily, where he set up a Mafia government that moved ruthlessly against the previous Fascist administration and the Communist partisan resistance. The continuing struggle against the Mafia in Italy, including the recent trial of long-standing Prime Minister Andreotti as a pawn of organised crime remains a legacy of US governmental co-operation with the Mob.

Hoover was dragged reluctantly into the fight against organised crime by Attorney General Robert Kennedy. When in 1961 Kennedy told his subordinate Hoover that it was time to take on the Mafia, Hoover replied that the Mafia was a myth, that it did not exist. Kennedy knew otherwise as his father had made the family fortune in bootlegging alcohol from Canada into the US during Prohibition, in alliance with the Bronfmans who owned Seagram's Whiskey (and who now control the World Jewish Congress, which is presently extorting money from Switzerland).

It was the Jewish and Italian Mafias which purchased the liquor that Kennedy distributed in the United States for their 'speakeasy' bars. The Kennedys had also, through Frank Sinatra, asked the Mafia for help in the 1960 Presidential election, with Chicago Mafia chieftain Sam Giancana delivering Illinois and West Virginia to JFK, thus turning a very close election against Richard Nixon. Naturally, the Mafia felt it had been double-crossed by Bobby Kennedy when in 1962 he went after Jimmy Hoffa, as this threatened Mafia control of the Teamsters, the largest labour union in the country.

But Hoover could no longer pretend to the country that organised crime was a myth, after Joseph Valachi, a top officer in the Vito



Father Coughlin: Targetted by the FBI

Genovese Family, broke the code of silence and described on national television the rituals, practices, methods and organisation of *La Cosa Nostra* ("this thing of ours"). The nation was shocked at the extent of the Mafia's operations and influence and Hoover's hand was forced. Nevertheless, he played the game to his advantage, using new laws that were passed to legalise wiretapping and to make it easier to prove and break alleged criminal conspiracies by the political organisations that he saw as the real danger.

The late 1960s were a time of considerable chaos, with blacks rioting and burning down the inner cities, and leftist Jews organising demonstrations of hundreds of thousands, both for civil rights and against the Vietnam War. These new 'anti-racketeering' laws and tools continued to be used after Hoover's death and are used to this day against 'subversive' political organisations, with the FBI defining which are subversive, and with the Congress,

as almost always, pleased to allow it to do so.

But we need to return to the early days of the FBI to see how the national political police developed. Between 1924 and 1933, when Franklin Delano Roosevelt became President, Hoover kept a low profile in regard to political investigations. But FDR quickly made it clear to Hoover that he was concerned with the Nazi threat and wanted the pro-Nazi German American Bund investigated and, in 1934, actively disrupted.

In 1936, Hoover, who by now was reporting directly to Roosevelt outside of the chain of command, was given responsibility for 'internal security' and used this power to infiltrate and disrupt the activities of any group he deemed to be subversive, including the German American Bund, the isolationist America First movement, Father Coughlin's Social Justice movement, Huey Long's Social Justice movement, and the various communist parties, the largest being the Communist Party USA (CPUSA), as well as the various communist front organisations. Roosevelt's inability to bring the Great Depression to an end, and the success of nationalist and communist revolutionary movements around the world, caused many American political observers to feel that revolution in the US was just a matter of time.

In 1936, Madison Square Garden in New York City was filled to capacity (22,000 seats) in each of three separate political rallies put on by the German American Bund, the CPUSA, and Father Coughlin. Coughlin had a radio audience of 40 million listeners eager every Sunday to hear his attacks on the banks and fat cats and later, beginning in 1938, on the Jews.

Assassination

In 1935, Coughlin was set to lead his Northern Catholic social justice supporters into a union with Huey Long's Southern Protestant social justice movement in the hope of getting Senator Long elected President in 1936. At this point Roosevelt called Long the most dangerous man in America, and within three months of this statement this radical leader, who was called a communist by conservatives and a fascist by liberals, was mysteriously assassinated.

Nevertheless, revolution remained thick in the air until the US entered the Second World War and Hoover arrested the leaders the German American Bund and the America First Movement on charges of sedition. Although they were never tried, they remained in jail until 1944, when the war was safely in hand. FDR and Hoover were certainly well aware that no sedition charge had ever been successfully prosecuted in America.

Even before America entered World War II Hoover was co-operating with the head of Britain's Secret Intelligence Service in the US, William Stephenson. The SIS taught the FBI many valuable techniques, including using

Cont. overleaf

Cont. from page 11

the Post Office to operate mail covers in which the return addresses of all mail to a target organisation were monitored, opening mail and then reading and replacing it such that the recipient could not know that it had been tampered with, and black bag jobs in which homes and offices were burgled without a trace of entry to acquire files or membership lists. Such surreptitious practices are described in detail in Stephenson's book *A Man Called Intrepid* and in Peter Wright's *Spy Catcher*.

In return, the FBI planted disinformation with the Germans and looked the other way as the SIS conducted its illegal activities, including the murder of its opponents on American soil. This lasted until Hoover found out that the SIS was also co-operating with Wild Bill Donovan's Office of Strategic Services (OSS) which was threatening to usurp the FBI's role in the gathering of foreign intelligence (and which shortly did so, with the OSS eventually being transformed into the CIA.) According to Donald Downs, a former British intelligence agent and burglar, a jealous Hoover then ordered his agents to interrupt one of the night-time burglaries against foreign embassies that were being conducted by the SIS.

Cold War Operation

As Roosevelt was allied with 'Uncle Joe' Stalin, and as most of his top advisors were Jews sympathetic to the Bolshevik experiment, Hoover had to tread carefully against the CPUSA until the Cold War broke out in 1947, at which point a massive FBI operation of subversion was mounted against it under the code-name COINTELPRO — counter-intelligence program. The membership of the CPUSA fell from 80,000 in 1947 to just 2,800 in 1970 for many reasons, but certainly the 'disruption program' (as the FBI termed it) COINTELPRO-CPUSA had a substantial impact.

Hoover considered it to have been so successful that he launched COINTELPRO programs against the Socialist Workers Party, the New Left, 'white hate groups', and 'Black extremists'. The first news of these programmes emerged from the burglary of the FBI's Philadelphia office in 1971 by unidentified activists calling themselves the Citizens' Commission to Investigate the FBI. The burglars released the documents they captured to the press, revealing that the FBI was preoccupied with its fear of revolution and that it had infiltrated student movements (particularly New Left organisations), various civil rights and other Black organisations, plus the Black Panthers and the Nation of Islam, communist organisations, the Ku Klux Klan, and the Jewish Defense League.

Gradually, reporters and Congressional investigating committees, which were launched only after the death of J. Edgar Hoover in 1972, were able to piece together the disruptive tactics of these COINTELPRO operations. It



The leftist ferment of the 1960s gave the FBI plenty of genuine subversives to monitor. Left: Anti-Vietnam War protestors use a favourite Marxist smear against President Nixon

was found that the FBI sent agents to infiltrate target groups, with **"specific instructions to stir up dissension and exploit internal differences to render the group less effective and coherent,"** according to Sanford Ungar's landmark book entitled *FBI: An Uncensored Look Behind the Walls*. Ungar says the FBI behaved "as if it had an entire way of life to protect.... The Bureau was — as if in wartime — monitoring all forms of political dissent."

The bank accounts, telephone records and travel of radicals were tracked. The more important leaders were wiretapped, sometimes legally and sometimes illegally. Their personal lives were monitored so that they could be pressured, discredited or blackmailed. In one case, a young Gus Hall became engaged to marry the daughter of a Pittsburgh newspaper publisher. The FBI explained to the publisher that Hall was an up and coming star in the CPUSA (he later came to head the Party) and the marriage did not take place.

Dirty tricks

Personal and ideological rivalries were played upon by the agents to split marriages and to split parties. Crimes were advocated to entrap radical leaders. Disinformation was planted, such as leaking that a particular party member was a government informant when in fact he was not. The Bureau surreptitiously notified "creditors, credit bureaus, employers, and prospective employers" about a person's "illegal, immoral, or radical" views or activities. Wherever possible, people were blackmailed into becoming informants. The Bureau established sham organisations to conduct mailings to apply political pressure and would co-operate with friendly journalists to plant stories for the same purpose. Information, true and false, was delivered anonymously to judges and prosecutors in attempts to influence the outcomes of trials. Parents were contacted and pressured where their children had written to radical organisations for information.

An FBI memorandum from headquarters to the San Francisco office on how to disrupt the Black Panthers reads as follows:-

"A wide variety of alleged authentic police or FBI material could be carefully selected or prepared for furnishing to the Panthers. Reports and other alleged police or FBI documents could be prepared pinpointing Panthers as police or FBI informants; ridiculing or discrediting Panther leaders through their ineptness or personal escapades; espousing personal philosophies and promoting factionalism among BPP members.... outlining fictitious plans for police raids or other counteractions; revealing misuse or misappropriation of Panther funds, pointing out instances of political disorientation; etc. The nature of the disruptive material would only be limited by the collection ability of your sources and the need to ensure the protection of their security."

In 1975, the Socialist workers Party (SWP) filed a lawsuit against the FBI in response to a similar programme of disruption. The case dragged on through the courts for eleven years because the FBI refused to name the agents and informers who had infiltrated the SWP, even after the courts found that the party had never advocated violence as an organisation, even though some of the infiltrators had urged it to violence. In the end, the SWP won a judgement of \$476,000 for specific violations of law on the part of the government. **It also emerged that more than 10 per cent of its officers were working for the FBI!**

The Congressional hearings found that J. Edgar Hoover had held special VIP files on all important political figures. Known as the O&C — Official and Confidential — files, these were used as sticks and carrots. This material enabled Hoover to threaten any opponent, or even any President who tried to fire him. Or he could help a favoured politician by passing him on opponents. It was also established that Hoover had misappropriated Bureau funds for his personal use.

Naturally, Hoover's successors immediately promised reforms, just as J. Edgar had in 1924. Just what these changes entailed, and how the FBI remains a menace to the true interests of the American people, will be examined in the next issue.

The Tyndall assault: the authentic left-wing version

"Far right and far left — they're just as bad as each other," the man of the 'moderate' centre would no doubt say. Well, not quite. There's one thing to be said for people of the so-called 'far right': they do have some respect for the truth. Their propaganda, while disagreeable to some, is at least basically honest — at times, some would say, too honest.

Such a criticism could never be levelled at the left. Its talent for fantasy-fiction masquerading as journalism was amply displayed in some of the accounts appearing in leftist publications of the assault on British National Party leader John Tyndall and his wife in East London in September.

This is what *The New Worker*, weekly organ of the New Communist Party, said on September 26th of the encounter:-

"BNP leader John Tyndal (*sic*) was spotted approaching the Swan (a public house) by a small group of anti-fascists. He was immediately surrounded by young people telling him what they thought of his policies of incitement to racist violence. "In the heated altercation that followed, Tyndall fell to the floor and sustained a superficial scalp wound and extensive bruising... "A large group of BNP leading members inside the Swan stayed exactly where they were and significantly failed to help their leader in his moment of trouble."

The facts: Mr. Tyndall's wife Valerie was with him when he approached the public house — something conveniently omitted from this report. The group of 'anti-fascists' was hardly 'small'; it numbered about 40. There were no policies of Mr. Tyndall of "incitement to racist violence" to talk about because he has never advocated any. There was no 'altercation' because neither Mr. or Mrs. Tyndall said a word to the 'anti-fascists'. The pair were rushed immediately they were spotted. No-one "fell to the floor"; Mrs. Tyndall was violently thrown to the ground while Mr. Tyndall remained on his feet, doing his best to shield her from the mob.

There was no 'large group' of BNP

members inside the Swan, only a very small group consisting of an advance party awaiting the arrival of the main party. They did not intervene in the attack on Mr. and Mrs. Tyndall because they were out of sight and knew nothing about it until after it was over.

Half of the truth

Another left-wing publication, *Time Out*, was a little more factual about the incident though not much, saying that the couple were "ambushed by a gang of anti-fascist protesters (*sic!*) and violently assaulted." The report spoke of "kicks and punches" being "rained down on the Tyndalls," (accurate so far) and then went on to repeat the fabrication about other BNP members running away knowing of the attack. *Time Out* happened to have its cameraman right on the spot to photograph Mr. and Mrs. Tyndall just after the beating — which indicates that the magazine was in close collusion with the leftist rowdies, even if not expecting to get this particular picture.

In the same report it was stated that the meeting scheduled for the nearby pub was the BNP's 'annual general meeting'. This was nonsense. If the BNP had such a thing as an 'annual general meeting' (which it doesn't), there's just no way the number of people present could be accommodated in a premises of that size.

Black paper's report

But the most hilarious description of the incident appeared in the black paper *New Nation* (with connections with Rupert Murdoch). Under a chortling headline saying: "BNP leader is beaten up and left in the gutter" (there was no gutter and Mr. Tyndall, as stated, remained on his feet), its report spoke of "a peaceful anti-racism demonstration" ending in violence. No hint, of course, of who was responsible for the violence. It went on to say:-

"Sources say the demo turned nasty when the insidious Tyndall provoked an attack by goading a small group of black, white and Asian protesters who had been tipped off about BNP activity in the area."

So now Mr. Tyndall, who, as we have stated, said not a word, was 'goading' the 'protesters', who were "black, white and Asian." **Fact:** From both Mr. and Mrs. Tyndall's view of the mob (small, remember?), it was comprised overwhelmingly of Whites; in fact neither saw one single non-White. But let *New Nation* continue, referring now to an 'eye-witness' to Mr. Tyndall's behaviour:-

"One minute he seemed to be revelling in the attention, even winding people up. Then all of a sudden things got a bit out of hand and words and blows were exchanged. Tyndall was clearly shaken..."

So now the story is that Mr. Tyndall was 'revelling' in the sight of a forty-strong anti-BNP mob rushing him and his wife! But that's not all. Just to ensure that members of the mob would attack the two with no holds barred, he was 'winding' them up. Shaken? Yes, that part of the story was true — what kind of a husband would not be shaken by the sight of his wife being flung to the ground by several hefty thugs and then kicked about the head and body, as the brave warriors of the left did with Mrs. Tyndall?

Mr. and Mrs. Tyndall are now almost fully recovered from their ordeal, albeit with the latter still feeling intermittent head pains as a result of the vicious assault on her. They are able to see the funny side of the affair — which was not evident at the time — in the quite ludicrous porkies told about it in the lefty press. Have any readers seen other similar stories in 'anti-fascist' papers? How about the one saying that the two charged the forty peaceful demonstrators with fists flying in a typical exhibition of nazi-racist brutality? We feel sure it must have been printed somewhere!

Mr. John Tyndall and Mrs. Tyndall would like to thank all those who sent sympathy and 'get well' messages following the report of the attack on them last month. As stated elsewhere, they are now almost fully recovered.

CULTS, JETS AND GREED

NICK GRIFFIN examines the factors behind the frantic rush to 'One World'

NO-ONE who looks a little further than the 'bread-and-circuses' headlines of the tabloid press can have any doubt that the most important factor in international politics today is the existence of a network of very powerful and wealthy interest groups, which are co-operating in a relentless drive to 'globalise' the once separate and independent peoples, national governments and economies of the world.

To state this is not to allege that there is some enormous, monolithic 'conspiracy', still less that it is the work of a secret council of the Learned Elders of Zion, the Vatican, Satan or the British monarchy (the latter theory being particularly popular in some American conservative circles). Indeed, part of the danger of the all-pervasive trend of globalisation is that it is at least as much the result of impersonal economic and technological changes as it is the work of a number of (often rival) human agencies. The problem is not a monolithic 'conspiracy' with a single head to be lopped off, but a multi-headed hydra of different tendencies and interest groups. Yet, for all their diversity, it is possible to identify the main driving forces behind the various facets of the New World Order project.

Whether one is talking about the Bilderberg Group, the Trilateral Commission, the media empires of the likes of Maxwell and Murdoch, the World Bank, General Motors or whoever, there are three mindsets behind the mania of so many of the world's financial and political élites for 'globalisation'. Some of those involved are influenced by a combination of these, others by just one, but scratch a globalist and you'll find at least one of the following motivations:

(1) Ideology

There are three interlinked strands within this factor:

(i) The Zionist movement which sprung from the secularisation of the old Jewish instinct to preserve a separate ethnic identity by stressing the superiority of the 'Chosen' over the *goyim*.

(ii) Marxism, having sprung from the same soil, tended to develop a life of its own, including — in some cases — sincere anti-Zionism. According to Marx, "the proletariat has no country," and the quicker the nations of the world are subjected to the rigours of global free trade the quicker the working class will find the capitalist yoke unbearable. Despite the collapse of Soviet Communism, the

ideological influence of the 1960s 'New Left' remains extremely strong, particularly in the media and teaching professions.

(iii) Liberalism, which may be seen as secularised Christianity — simply remove the divine element from the belief "we are all equal in the sight of God" to discover the origin of the absurd superstition of egalitarianism, whereby all human differences are the result of environmental factors and will therefore vanish once the whole world enjoys the living standards of a Hampstead social worker, a utopia achievable if we will only learn to 'love' everybody, cease to discriminate and allow free trade to produce the most rational use of the world's resources.



Nick Griffin

Although the adherents of these belief systems are motivated by somewhat different ideal *ends*, the *means* whereby they seek to achieve them invariably lead them down the same political road. Thus they are all ferocious opponents of any form of identity which might lead to ordinary people developing a group consciousness which could end in them opposing Zionist domination, being dragooned into a Communist paradise by either force of arms or media brainwashing, or the destruction of their national economy. This is why the followers of these creeds, however much they argue among themselves, immediately close ranks to oppose any manifestation of racial awareness by white people and any genuine religiosity, particularly Islamic fundamentalism.

It is also why they are all beavering away to build support for the internationalisation of trade, economics and politics, for the total destruction of all independent sovereign states is a prerequisite for the establishment of their various dreams of a single world government.

Unfortunately, owing to the restrictions imposed by the Race Laws, it is not possible to elaborate further on the ancient hatred and arrogance which gave birth to these subversive dogmas, so let us move straight on to the second, closely related, factor:

(2) Millennialism

It is important to understand that, for all the logical arguments about 'economies of scale' which liberal economists, bankers and politicians use to encourage the developed West to commit economic suicide, an important motivating force behind globalisation is not logic but messianic hysteria.

This is at its most obviously insane among the Zionist zealots who are the main movers behind the strongly anti-white bias of the whole project. These people really *do* believe that their Messiah will arrive shortly; they really *are* planning to rebuild the Temple in Jerusalem; they really *have* made the sacred knives and blood-bowls needed to kill the red heifer whose ashes are required to sanctify the new building; they really *have* had a special research centre in Israel dedicated to breeding a perfectly red-coated calf for the holy sacrifice!

So sure are they that all this is going to happen that the Israeli airline, *El Al*, produced a tourist advert for Jerusalem showing the city's skyline without the famous Muslim shrine the Dome of the Rock, which is in the way of the temple project and will therefore have to be destroyed. And once the Temple has been built and the Messiah has returned, they are equally confident that He, with a bit of help from his faithful, favoured, people, will rule over all the nations of the world from Jerusalem. Which is why, just to speed things up a bit, there have been serious suggestions that the United Nations headquarters should be moved there. Many strictly orthodox Jews, incidentally, regard such plans, and even the very existence of the state of Israel, as heretical, but one of the many side-effects of decades of 'Holocaust' propaganda has been to give the Zionists the whip-hand over such dissenters.

Meanwhile, many of the Gentiles involved in the various 'One World' projects are heavily influenced by 'New Age' teachings, which hold that the astrological transition to the Age

of Aquarius herald the end of 'hatred' and of supposedly aggressive, masculine traits such as nationalism and racial awareness, and the coming of a feminine era of peace, co-operation and 'love'. Much of this unnatural and anti-human gibberish has its roots in the teachings of the Jewish Kabbalists. One of its most influential gurus today is Michael Lerner, once jailed for his involvement in a violent attack by the ultra-Left Seattle Liberation Front on a federal court-house, but in recent years the court philosopher of the Clintons. Lerner edits *Tikkun*, a magazine started in 1986 with \$1 million from a drugstore heiress, Nan Fink, who was briefly married to Lerner in spite of his opposition to monogamy. The 'ex'-Marxist's influence over the Clintons was first publicised in the *Sunday Telegraph* of 20th June, 1993, when Ambrose Evans-Pritchard wrote that:-

"The full story is beginning to come out. The President and First Lady of the United States are followers of an eccentric cult, a Jewish heresy no less, that mixes the Old Testament with a dash of medieval cabbala mysticism and a good deal of 1960s campus Marxism. "We knew that something was amiss when Hillary Clinton departed from her health care script in April and began calling for a 'new politics of meaning', a spiritual revival to cure the 'sleeping sickness of the soul' that ails America. But now we learn that both she and her husband have been drawing their philosophical inspiration for the past five years from *Tikkun*, an obscure Left-wing Jewish magazine."

The word *Tikkun* means 'to mend, repair, and transform the world', and is inspired by the late medieval *Zohar*, which posits the primordial perfection of humanity. Man, says Lerner, is naturally caring and sensitive, but has been turned into a brute by capitalism. The

Tikkun confessional prayer, *Al Cheyt*, which is recited by some 40,000 followers in their monthly cell meetings, begs forgiveness "for the sins of accepting the current distribution of wealth and power," "for the sins of participating in a racist society," "for the sins of turning our backs on the oppression of gays and lesbians" and "for the sins of squandering hundreds of billions on unnecessary defence expenditures."

Addiction

Vice-President Al Gore is, if anything, even more deeply influenced by the New Agers, hence his addiction to anti-human 'deep-green' ecological theory. This is an urbanised perversion of the teachings of the ancient nature-based 'Mystery' religions, which has forgotten that the fundamental law of nature is struggle, and — ignoring the fact that hawks eat songbirds, and that robins will fight to the death over mates and territory — argues that Creation is based on a sickly, pacifistic 'love', rather than on competition. **This decadent, childish world-view sees Man — particularly the white man, with his technology, industry and Faustian urge to explore, discover and conquer the unknown — as a dangerous virus afflicting the Earth Goddess, Gaia. They want, quite literally, to see our kind vanish from the face of the earth.**

It would be bad enough to have these ethno-masochistic death-cult freaks in charge of international politics in normal times, but the fact that they believe that the world is on the brink of a 'paradigm shift' to a new era of eternal peace makes them doubly dangerous. After all, if you become convinced that you have a role to play in bringing global brotherhood, eternal peace, the End of History and the Second Coming, you then have a duty to blast the hell out of anyone who stands in the

way, don't you? The Iraqis and Serbs have already tasted the joys of this messianic fantasy. They won't be the last.

(3) Greed

In the case of many enthusiasts for globalisation, any ideological or pseudo-religious reasons they may have developed to justify their position are, in all probability, nothing more than cloaks for their real motivation. Plain old fashioned personal greed — for money or for power — is what it's really all about. Sometimes this is expressed with extreme crudity, as in the case of Robert Maxwell taking delight in being able to keep the Queen waiting, or going to the roof of his East London headquarters in order to urinate on the peasants walking below. More often, it manifests itself in the British multi-national executive who won't hesitate to close down a factory in Luton if he can boost the value of his share options by switching production to Lahore, or in the decision of the owner of a meat-packing firm in the rural Mid-West of America to bring in five hundred Mexicans as cheap labour, even though it means the destruction of the community his grandfather helped to build from nothing.

This factor can be seen most clearly in the attitudes of the bosses of the banks and chemical and agri-business combines, who don't hesitate to use bribery or economic pressure to encourage the corrupt rulers of unfortunate Third World states to take out huge loans their people can never repay, or to switch to the use of capital-intensive, high-tech agriculture, growing crops for export instead of to employ and feed their own people. While certain people might derive pleasure from grinding the once proud white man into the dust, there can be no such thrill in reducing a half-starved, subsistence-farming South American peasant into a starving wage-slave, so the only possible motivation must be a few more cents profit. Never was the old imperialism of those who talked of 'the White Man's burden' as ruthless and callous as that of the International Monetary Fund and Stock Market fund-managers.

Technology

In addition to these human factors, the other force which has driven the globalisation process forward is technological development. The jet engine, television, satellite communications and the Internet have created the impression of a shrinking world. It is hardly surprising that many modern businessmen — particularly the generation educated in the 1960s, which is now at its most powerful — have lost all consciousness of their roots, of what they owe to their national and ethnic backgrounds, and genuinely believe that they have more in common with a fellow company director from Korea than with the workers on their own shopfloor.

Jews hail birth of red cow as sign to start third temple

THE BIRTH of a red heifer in Israel is being hailed by religious Jews as a sign from God that work can soon begin on building the Third Temple in Jerusalem.

A team of rabbinical experts last week confirmed that the animal, born six months ago on a religious kibbutz near the north Israeli port of Haifa, meets the correct Biblical criteria for a genuine holy cow.

According to the Book of Numbers (XIX: 2-7), the animal is needed for an ancient Jewish purification ritual.

"The Lord hath commanded saying: Speak unto the children of Israel that they bring thee a red heifer without spot, wherein is no blemish, and upon which never came yoke," says the fourth book of the Old Test-

by CON COUGHLIN in Jerusalem

ment, also part of Jewish holy scripture, the Torah.

The heifer will be slaughtered and burned, and its ashes made into a liquid paste and used in a ceremony which religious Jews believe they must undergo before they can enter the old Temple site in Jerusalem to start building a new structure.

Since Herod's Temple was destroyed by the Roman emperor Titus in AD70, no flawless red heifer has been born within the biblical land of Israel, according to rabbinical teaching.

The birth of the animal, to a black-and-white mother and a dun-coloured bull, is being hailed as a "miracle" by activists who want to

rebuild the Third Temple and prepare the way for the Jewish messiah's entry to Jerusalem.

The faithful will need to wait until the heifer is at least three before it can be used in a ritual sacrifice. That would enable religious Jews to start the new millennium (a Christian event, but still regarded as portentous) in a state of purity.

News of the red heifer's appearance, however, will not be well received by Muslims. The site of the old Jewish temples in the Holy City is now occupied by one of Islam's holiest shrines, the Dome of the Rock.

Jewish extremists want to destroy the Dome and the adjoining Al-Aqsa mosque to

make way for a new temple. In 1985 a group of Jewish terrorists were jailed in Israel for planning to destroy the Dome with high explosives.

But Jewish activists say they regard it as their divine mission to build a new Temple.

"We have been waiting 2,000 years for a sign from God, and now he has provided us with a red heifer," said Yehudah Etzion, the ringleader of the Eighties' plot to blow up the Dome, who was present at last week's inspection of the red heifer at Kfar Hassidim.

"There were a couple of little white hairs which worried us, but the rabbis are satisfied that it is the red heifer referred to in the Bible," said Mr Etzion.

Cont. from page 15

Ironically, many of the same technologies are also responsible for the growing worldwide opposition to globalisation and financial imperialism. The rising tide of ethnic and religious identity is the unavoidable result of bringing different peoples and cultures into far closer proximity than is natural. The technology which built the jet-fighter and tanks, which imposed the post-war partition of the world on a myriad of resentful nations, also created throw-away, shoulder-to-air missiles with which illiterate tribesmen can blast them and their crews to pieces.

The electronic wizardry which led first to the creation of centralised television networks which put unprecedented brainwashing power in the hands of a few hundred men, has now gone on to make video cameras, personal computers and the Internet — a combination which, if they do not find a way to bring it under control within a couple of years, will do for the previous information monopoly what the development of the longbow did for the mounted knights who had ruled the battlefields of Europe for hundreds of years.

Running out of time?

This leads us on to the last factor affecting the plans of the New World Order addicts: the growing feeling that they are running out of time. As already noted, this is partly due to the millennial undercurrent of so many of their plans. Whacky though it may sound (whacky as it undoubtedly is) there is little doubt that some of them are actually working to a kabbalistic timetable. Others, with the egocentric view of the world which is natural for mortal men, believe and hope that their pet projects can be completed in their own lifetimes. They too feel they have no time to lose.

Most of all, it is clear from the deceit and deception with which their plans — from the creation of a United States of Europe and embryonic One World government institutions, to genocide through racial integration and the destruction of the manufacturing industries of the West — are always put into practice, that the internationalists know that what they are doing is unnatural, unpopular and liable to provoke a massive backlash.

They are like the farmboy in the East European folk tale, who grasped a wolf by the ears, realising only too late that he couldn't let go again. They have done enough to make their victims extremely angry, but not enough yet to subdue them. There is no turning back, for if the wolf is released he will tear his tormentor to pieces. Worse, as the pain increases, he will struggle harder and harder to break free. So they are now forced to make the wolf even more uncomfortable by trying to bind him in chains, while there is still time.

So while it would make political sense to stop the project to inundate the homelands of the West with coloured immigrants, the process

is being speeded up. While it would make economic sense to halt the implementation of a latter-day Morgenthau Plan to deindustrialise the white nations, the pace of the transfer is increasing. While it would make psychological sense to leave law-abiding citizens with their target pistols, they want to take away the last peashooter.

Perhaps most worrying of all for the internationalists is the fear that their schemes won't have passed the point of no return (if you think this is paranoia, ponder for a moment on the full meaning of the EC commitment to "ever-closer and irreversible union") before the world's economy hits the buffers in the now overdue cyclical collapse which is unavoidable with a debt-based financial system.

Of particular concern is the irrational behaviour of the Stock Markets, which are showing all the symptoms of pre-crash euphoria. History teachers have always poked fun at the silly Dutch, who 'invested' fortunes in tulip bulbs, at the English Stock Market hopefuls who expected to be made rich by companies set up "for purposes yet to be decided" just before the South Sea Bubble burst, and relate how the wise financier sold all his shares when he heard lift attendants exchanging share tips just before the Wall Street crash.

But how are such past idiocies any more daft than the present craze for opening new Stock Exchanges in the flyblown statelets which have sprung up in former Soviet Asia, or the rush by middle-aged Americans to invest their pension money in mutual funds whose dividend yields scarcely cover administration costs, but whose 'value' has been pushed skywards by.... middle-aged

Americans looking for places to invest their pension money?

Such over-valuation has created a situation where a new share collapse could tip the Western world into the kind of liquidity crisis and economic slump which has afflicted Japan ever since the 1989 Tokyo crash. But while the stoic, homogeneous and fatalistic Japanese have grinned and borne it, the lessons of history — and hints from the polling booths, from Norway to Marseilles, Australia to the Isle of Dogs — are that the peoples of the white world could well respond to ten years of real economic hardship by looking elsewhere for political leadership.

Trying for a 'soft landing'

These are the concerns behind George Soros's belated calls for limits to the rapacity of free market capitalism, and the Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan's attempts to 'talk down' Wall Street for a soft landing. They also featured in a remarkable recent front page of the *Financial Times*, which ran an article about the continuing implosion of the Japanese economy next to a piece full of concern that the 'extreme-right' DVU (*Deutsche Volks-Union*) had taken 4.9 per cent of the vote in elections in Hamburg, coming within a whisper of getting representation in local government under proportional representation. No, it's not a misprint! Not 'forty nine per cent' but 'four-point-nine per cent' — that's how worried these people are about the potential for radical nationalism.

Another well-connected Establishment mouthpiece, Sir William Rees-Mogg, spelled things out even more bluntly in *The Times* on October 13th:-

"Wall Street has risen in the 1990s in a way which is alarmingly reminiscent of the 1920s, the 1980s, and Japan before the Tokyo crash. The standard measures of value, dividend yield, earnings yield, and the ratio of the share price to the book value of the underlying assets, are all higher than they were in 1987, or even in 1929. The simplest comparison of all... is the dividend yield. That is now 1.6 per cent; in late September 1929, before the panic, the dividend yield on Wall Street was more than 3 per cent. In the major bear markets of the 20th century, the bottom has never been reached before the dividend yield had gone back to more than 6 per cent. If this market crashes, it has potentially a long, long way to fall...."

"No one can know when the top of the boom will come... we could have a Black Monday today, or in a week's time, or in a year or two. The certainty is that stock market values are already way above their historic norms; they are way above their long-term trend lines, in the United States, in Europe, and in those other world stock markets which have not yet fallen. The likelihood is that stock markets will not have a soft landing; if the mutual fund investors of the United States stop buying, Wall Street will have to fall a long way to get them started again.

WORLD BANK LATIN AMERICAN
AND CARIBBEAN STUDIES

Viewpoints

DISMANTLING
THE POPULIST STATE:
THE UNFINISHED REVOLUTION
IN LATIN AMERICA AND
THE CARIBBEAN

by Shabid Javed Burki
and Sebastian Edwards

THE WORLD BANK
WASHINGTON, D.C.

World Bank proposals for exposing South America to the full force of globalism. The interests of ordinary people, and the sovereignty of national governments, are obstacles to be 'dismantled'

"The risk of a stock market crash is a threat to world prosperity. Japan has had seven lean years after the fall of its stock market. The United States had ten lean years after 1929. There is much more than the fortunes of speculators riding on the Wall Street indices."

Such then, are the worries about uncontrollable forces and the deeply irrational hidden agendas which motivate the frightened and neurotic men who strut the world stage or juggle with telephone number fortunes in secret boardroom meetings, trying to channel hugely powerful impersonal forces in the service of their numbered bank accounts or their eccentric ideologies.

Even when they bother to hide their true designs behind pretty phrases about "the brotherhood of man" and "bringing an end to instability and war," their aims are destructive of all we hold dear. If pure Evil ever took form on this earth, it would do so in the plans of the One Worlders.

Rotten fruits

Their fruits are the fifteen-year-old heroin addicts on vandalised estates in Northern England, Scotland and South Wales, where a cast-off generation swap needles among the rubble of the pitheads and stripmills where their fathers hewed coal and forged steel. But they are also to be seen in the pitiful, starved corpses of children in orphanages in Baghdad.

Their fruits are the heart-breaking loneliness of pensioners and single mothers in tower blocks in inner London, where they dare not step out of their steel-reinforced front doors after dark, for fear of the human predators who

stalk streets which our grandparents would no longer recognise. But they are also to be seen in the fate of millions of peasant farmers in India and China, lured from their little family plots to a shortened life choking in the smog of new industrial areas which make early Victorian Birmingham look like a yuppie health farm.

Their fruits are the ever-rising suicide and drug overdose rate among young white males who are fed nothing but self-hate and guilt by their TVs and the National Curriculum. But they are also to be seen in the crippled arms of Palestinian schoolboys, broken with iron bars for throwing stones at the immigrant soldiers occupying their ancestral land.

Naturally, our primary concern is to secure a decent life and future for our own people, but it is worth reminding the tender-hearted British that, in opposing the drive to the One World Nirvana of the bankers, the Zionists, and power junkies like Blair and Clinton, we are standing up for the best interests of *all* the peoples of the world.

It is even more worthwhile to remind ourselves that 'globalisation' is not primarily the logical and inevitable result of blind economic forces, or of a monolithic, all-powerful conspiracy, but the unnatural and unstable creation of various disparate groups of wealthy, greedy, short-sighted cranks, who have ridden a wave of change which — for a while — was headed in the way they wanted to go. And that, like all unnatural and unstable things, it will not survive the first storm of winter. Enter Reality: Stage right!

SPEARHEAD SUPPORT FUND

Income from the sales of our magazine is not enough to cover production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so that we may remain solvent.

Because of the controversial nature of the opinions expressed in these columns, it is impossible to induce wholesale distributors to handle our magazine. Hence our need for additional financial support.

Please send all contributions to: *Spearhead*, c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested, in which case an SAE would be much appreciated. Thanks.

Have you joined the Welling Club?

The Welling Club is a special group which exists to help raise money for the British National Party. Membership is open to all persons, whether or not BNP members, willing to contribute £20 each month to the party's national funds.

Welling Club members are sent regular bulletins informing them of the progress in achieving various important BNP targets which is being made with the help of their donations. They are also entitled to attend, free of charge, an annual club dinner addressed by the BNP Chairman.

Those wishing to join the Welling Club should apply, sending their initial £20 contribution (made out to the 'British National Party'), to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW



Sew on cloth patch in red, black and white



Celtic Cross pin in black and white



Celtic Cross pendant



Celtic Cross pin in black

THE CELTIC CROSS

A symbol that unites and bonds together the people of Europe. Show them that you are proud of your white European ancestry — WEAR THIS SYMBOL WITH PRIDE AND HONOUR.

For a complete catalogue of our pin badges, patches, belt buckles, posters, etc, send a first class stamp to:-

BCM TYR SERVICES, LONDON, WC1N 3XX, England.

All pin badges, pendant and patch cost just £2.40 each incl. postage. Please make cheques and P.O.s payable to 'Tyr Services'.

BNP delegation sees THE FUTURE OF FRANCE

The place: PARIS The date: SEPTEMBER 26th-27th 1997

The occasion: THE *FRONT NATIONAL'S* 17th *FÊTE BLEU-BLANC-ROUGE*

FOR the first time ever, the British National Party was invited to attend the *Front National's* Blue-White-Red festival this year. National Organiser Richard Edmunds headed a delegation of party officials and activists who made for what is now the largest and most impressive annual nationalist activity in the world.

Initial worries that the site might be hard to find were dispelled when the huge fairground wheel which towers above this great celebration of French and European culture was spotted from several miles away.

With more than 100,000 people passing through the turnstiles, it is almost impossible for photographs to capture the scale of this family festival. You've really got to see it for yourself to grasp the enormous groundswell of popular support which is carrying the *FN* towards power.



IN addition to stands run by national party officials, various nationalist publications and the *FN's* youth, student and trade union wings, activists from all over France sell the traditional dishes and drinks of their home regions to the bustling crowds. This year there were more than one hundred such displays.

The Dom-Tom stall pictured is staffed by Black *FN* supporters from the French colony.

Le Pen has promised them independence and financial aid in exchange for their taking back immigrants now living in France. Black and White agree to the benefits of separation — the path to peace, mutual respect and white survival

THROUGHOUT the weekend, *Front* leaders including Jean-Marie Le Pen, pictured right, meet both party officials and members of the public. The security for the whole event is strict but discreet. This aspect is much more easily organised than in Britain, as France does not have a Public Order Act ban on organised and uniformed defence teams. On the other hand, the French extreme left and militant Zionist groups are much more powerful and aggressive than their counterparts this side of the Channel.

One member of the BNP delegation was invited to meet Bruno Gollnisch, the head of the *FN*'s overseas liason department and one of M. Le Pen's most senior advisors. In discussion with our man, he spoke about the *Front*'s hopes for greater co-operation between nationalist parties all over Europe and suggested that the BNP should make a return trip to Paris at a time when he would have more time to talk.

It is certainly hoped that this offer will be followed up shortly, but whatever else happens, there is no doubt that next year's *Bleu-Blanc-Rouge* festival will see a bigger contingent from the British National Party.



JUST a fraction of the huge crowd gathering to listen to Jean-Marie Le Pen. The speaker on the podium at the centre of the picture is dwarfed by the massive backdrop and the sheer size of the event, but a powerful public address system carries his message to the furthest corners of the festival site. Less than twenty years ago, the *Front National* was regarded as an insignificant 'extremist' fringe party. Today, in spite of bomb attacks on its leaders, violent assaults on its meetings, and changes in electoral law designed to stop it, the *FN* is becoming a credible alternative to a corrupt old order which has failed France.

"It's a fantastic experience. The atmosphere is so friendly and enthusiastic. This is a nation rediscovering its own values, its self-confidence and its will to live. Once you've seen it, you can no longer doubt that this movement is going to come to power — and before too long"

Photos: Paul Williams Text: Nick Griffin

Andrew Fountaine

Andrew Fountaine, who died of cancer on September 14th aged 78, is a man I remember at times as a colleague and at others as an opponent in internal quarrels and power struggles in the British Nationalist movement. What certainly is impossible is *not* to remember him. He was quite a unique character, the like of which we are not likely to see again.

Unlike most of us engaged in this cause, Andrew Fountaine made something of a name for himself in mainstream politics before involvement in full-blooded nationalism. He had been the adopted Tory candidate for Chorley (Lancs.) in 1950 when he had the party whip withdrawn as a result of some outspoken views he had expressed on, among other things, non-white immigration. Undeterred, he stood as an Independent Conservative and came within 361 votes of winning.

Coming from a family of Norfolk landowners and son of an admiral, Andrew Fountaine had joined the Royal Navy in 1935, only to be invalided out with rheumatic fever within months. Trying to get back in, he was refused by one medical board after another until, after the war had started, he faked a medical by getting a friend of very similar appearance to take it in his stead. This was not discovered until he was injured when his destroyer was damaged in a *kamikaze* attack. He was spared a court-martial but allowed to retire with his full rank (Commander) intact. Previous to all this, he had served in the Spanish Civil War on the side of the Nationalists.

After his parting from the Tories in 1950, he founded the National Front movement, which enjoyed a brief life. Later he was involved with the earlier British National Party (no connection with the present one) before being a founder-member of the National Front (again no connection with his earlier venture) in 1967.

Andrew Fountaine's on-off relations with the NF between 1967 and 1979 were chequered and sometimes stormy. He came into conflict with the party's founder-leader A.K. Chesterton quite early on. Later he challenged me for the leadership of the party in the latter year and lost. The circumstances of this conflict did not make for good relations at the time but I always retained a sneaking personal respect, even affection, for him which I could not extend to many of the other people associated with him in that episode. Unlike them, he conducted his disputes with me in a way that was always blazingly honest. "The



ANDREW FOUNTAINE

Taken in the late 1970s, when he was Deputy Chairman of the National Front

thing about Andrew when he's doing battle with you," said a friend once, "is that you can always see him coming." There was none of the sneaky, conspiratorial flavour about AF's method of political infighting that distinguished some of the others with whom I had to cope in those struggles.

I daresay that to the end I was not among his favourite people, but the hostility was never reciprocated. Andrew Fountaine, even when one was infuriated with him, was quite impossible to dislike.

He had the social status, the means and the looks and personality to be a success in the Tory Party and to enjoy life to the full. He gave up any prospects of the first by being true to his principles — being one of the earliest to see that that party was rotten to the core. He perhaps achieved the second in his own way, but it was never a way of extravagance or luxury within the decadent *demi-monde* to which so many of his class had descended. A countryman to his very boots, before and after his political retirement he retained a close interest in the land, forestry and water resources.

He leaves a wife, two sons and a daughter to whom we extend our deepest sympathies.

JOHN TYNDALL

Eddie Adamson

We heard earlier this year that an old nationalist stalwart, Eddie Adamson, had died in his home town of Blackburn (Lancs.). He was in his eighties.

Eddie Adamson was the founder and Organiser of the National Front branch in Blackburn in the early days of that party. Later, in 1973, he handed the leadership over to younger hands but remained very much involved. When the NF split at the beginning of the 1980s he transferred his support to the British National Party and

remained loyal to it to the end of his days. Long after he had passed the activist age, he continued to campaign for the BNP as best he could, and was a regular writer of supportive letters to local newspapers.

We salute this grand old warrior, who never gave up the good fight.

Moyna Traill-Smith

Not all readers will know of Moyna Traill-Smith, who died of a heart attack at her home near Cape Town, South Africa, in September, aged 77.

Moyna, proudly of British descent, was a member of A.K. Chesterton's League of Empire Loyalists before following AKC into the National Front in the late 1960s. Subsequently she became a supporter, though never a member, of the British National Party and maintained occasional correspondence with John Tyndall over the years, keeping him abreast of events in South Africa. In her last letter she sadly contrasted the order and stability of her country in the *apartheid* days to the anarchy of today, when, she said, no woman could venture out of her home without being armed.

With her death we regret the passing of a true friend of Britain and our movement.

Hans Eysenck

Professor Hans Eysenck, one of the most courageous scientists of his generation, has died in London, aged 81. In the course of a distinguished career at the Institute of Psychiatry at London University, Prof. Eysenck published some 80 books and 1,600 journal articles. Many of these dealt with his pioneering work in psychology, but he also raised eyebrows by studying astrology and the paranormal.

He will always be remembered by racial nationalists for the courage with which, together with the American professor Arthur Jensen, he stood almost alone in academia in the neo-Marxist dark days of the early 1970s, daring to discuss the overwhelming evidence for inherited racial differences in intelligence. At the height of the outcry against them in 1971, both men had to have bodyguards.

Hans Eysenck was born in Berlin, the son of successful actors, but left Germany in 1934 because he refused to join the Nazi party, and could therefore not hold a post at the University of Berlin. This inconvenient fact frequently proved embarrassing to leftist agitators who condemned his references to racial differences as 'Nazi'.

THE GHOSTS OF RAPPAREE COVE

MATTHEW ARMITAGE looks at what's behind the recent spate of interest in a remote beach in Devon

RAPPAREE COVE is situated near the North Devon seaside town of Ilfracombe. Until recently, its main claim to fame was the occasional find of gold coins from a shipwreck years ago. On the pebble beach is a low mound which, for some reason, members of Britain's Afro-Caribbean Community have become convinced contains the remains of a cargo of slaves shipwrecked during the days of the slave trade. Bernie Grant has visited it to pay his respects; there are demands that a memorial be erected on the site and there's been a remembrance service conducted on the beach. The evidence suggests that we're going to hear a lot more about Rapparee Cove.

Actually it's highly unlikely that the mound does contain any remains of slaves. Slave ships generally bought their human cargoes from black or semitic slave-traders on the African coast and sailed directly to the Americas, rarely visiting England *en route*.

A local historian has a far more convincing explanation. He believes that the mound is the resting place of French prisoners of war from the Napoleonic Wars shipwrecked off the North Devon coast. During the Napoleonic Wars hundreds of prisoners of war were brought to the West Country — Dartmoor prison was originally built to house them.

The easiest way to resolve the matter would be to excavate the mound to find out what exactly is contained in it. If there are human remains, the local police pathologist would rapidly be able to determine the race of those concerned, for there are marked differences in the bone structure of the different races. Regardless of such discoveries, it may be best if any remains are re-interred elsewhere, lest they become the objective of grave robbers. This is happening in South Africa where the graves of British soldiers killed during the Zulu War of 1879 are being opened so that insignia, medals and weapons can be stolen and sold to collectors overseas.

If nothing is done matters could get out of hand, with 'brothers and sisters' from all over the world arriving to pay

their respects at Rapparee Cove. It would be interesting to see how the citizens of North Devon react to this.

Others might get in on the act as well. Supposing French patriots should decide that the mound contains the remains of some of their prisoners of war? Might they start demanding that a memorial be erected for them? What if local BNP activists declared their belief that the bones are those of a cargo of *white* slaves? Many thousands of the losers in the English Civil War and Monmouth's rebellion were shipped in chains from West Country ports to become slaves in the West Indies. Where is their memorial? Why do we never hear about the huge trade in poor whites sold or kidnapped into slavery?

Because, of course, the people making the fuss about black slavery and the tale of the mound at Rapparee Cove have a more sinister motive than teaching history.

Ceaseless propaganda

In recent years we've heard more and more of the alleged inhumanity of the white race to the other races in the world. Does a day ever go by without media coverage of the 'Holocaust'? The celebrations for the official European discovery of the Americas were marred by protests about the millions of native Americans killed by the Europeans by military campaigns or disease.

In this country we've been reminded of the 'humiliation' inflicted on the Chinese by our taking Hong Kong from them; the cruelties perpetrated on the people of India by the Raj, and, of course, the slave trade. Little attempt, if any, is made to refute these allegations. To do so invites being called 'racist'.

But refute them we must, for 'guilt' is the great secret weapon whereby we have been morally disarmed. Why should we listen to such stories anyway? Which race, nay, which nation, *abolished* slavery?

So let's have no more hysterical tales like that of the mound at Rapparee Cove. Let's have an end to synthetic 'guilt'!



Industrial accident boys at a Dr. Barnado's Home in London in about 1890. Decades after the abolition of black slavery, white workers laboured in far worse conditions than those which had been endured by most expensive black slaves. The endless media propaganda about the slave trade never mentions the fact that the vast majority of slaves shipped from British ports were whites — including thousands of kidnapped children. Ordinary Britons have nothing to feel guilty about

RACE
AND
NATION



The Editor
'Spearhead'
c/o PO Box 117,
Welling,
Kent DA16 3DW

SIR: This country beats Alice in Wonderland and it just gets "Curioser and Curioser." I thought I had seen everything, but an item on television just altered that!

It proudly showed a team of black football players, all from various parts of Africa, mainly Nigeria. I was amazed to hear that they were a team of illegal immigrants, who are being kept in custody while their cases, 'naturally', are reviewed. On top of this, they have been accepted into a local football league — which, no doubt, means that they are not only living at our expense, but that taxpayers' money is also being used to ferry them to and from away fixtures! The captain had recently been told that he is going to be deported, but although he claims that he will be shot if he is returned to Nigeria, he didn't seem unduly worried. I wonder why?

Yours in Wonderland
W. S. WOOTON,
Kings Lynn, Norfolk

SIR: The lunatics are running the asylum!

Today, for example, we had the debasement of marriage and the normal family by the European Court ruling that two lesbians have the same 'rights' as a heterosexual couple, and the revelation that 'Caribbean' men are six times more likely to be insane than white men (the fault of our health service, we were told). The evening before, an edition of BBC's *Panorama* focused on unmarried mothers and featured five London-based women (black and white) with children who were either black or half caste, plus three women in Cambridge (black and white) who all had black or half caste children, all by different black men. If any further evidence is required, how about the media gushings over the homework club for 'homosexual' children as young as 14 in Manchester?

BILL WOODS,
Nottingham

SIR: How right is Nick Griffin on the need for nationalists to formulate detailed policies which will attract support ('Hard Times, Soft Soap', October *Spearhead*).

Nationalism in Britain has been dogged by the charge of impracticality for years. There is little disagreement on general aims, with which the public generally will agree in the abstract, but the devil is in the detail — something the public understands very well. In the absence of a sufficient and convincing filler of this gap,

nationalists have often added to their troubles with an air of 'over the top' calls for heroism in the manner so well satirised in *Blackadder's* First World War adventures. This only serves to add to a 'doomed cause' ambience, readily exploited by the opposition.

Political history indicates that where there is a will there is a way, but the mass of people require more convincing. Almost every major change brought about by government was thought impossible not long before its introduction — the founding of the NHS being an example. A plea of inevitable historical process to our disadvantage has been an immensely useful legacy from Marxism, which the liberals use to hypnotise their victims. Such a mindset would have been seen as incomprehensible in 19th century Britain, when problems were perceived as there to be solved, and the world there to be improved according to one's lights.

A civilised resolution of such problems as immigration or mass unemployment is perfectly feasible, but we must argue our case, and be perceived as convincing. In the process, everyone must accept that three-quarters of the cake is better than none. At the moment, we are not even enjoying a crumb. One of the leading figures on the extreme left advises his followers on how to progress with the words: "Technique, technique, technique." Good soldiers read the enemy's manuals as well as their own.

MICHAEL NEWLAND,
London NW5

SIR: I've supported the BNP for about seven years. I've listened to all the arguments for and against the BNP by other so-called 'nationalist' groups. But it strikes me that the people who criticise the most, the people who talk of 'direct action' and set up 'secret' groups, are the ones who always get exposed in the newspapers. So much for security!

I've talked with some of these self-proclaimed 'white warriors', but whenever I've asked them when they are actually going to do something, they go quiet. The word that springs to mind is 'Hollywood.'

These people are jealous of the BNP, because we stand proudly and openly for our beliefs — selling papers, leafletting, contesting elections, and thereby winning new recruits to the racial nationalist cause. The so-called 'hardliners' sit in pub corners, spread rumours and mutter into their beer about how useless everybody else is, but they never recruit anybody fresh. What a waste of space!

The only way forward is political action, and that the only party which can do it is the BNP. There is no other force worth supporting. The only place for you if you care about Britain is the British National Party. That's why I joined. I like what I see; I like the determination and the dedication; I am proud to be a part of it.

TONY BRADBURY
Coventry

SIR: Ellen Strachan's *Spoils of War* (October *Spearhead*) was up to her usual excellent standard, but contained one phrase totally out of character for a woman who clearly recognises life's biological imperative.

I refer to the line stating that white people are increasingly childless "through the economic necessity imposed by the rigours of globalisation." This made my blood boil. No-one should ever consider their finances before having a child. Every healthy white woman should reproduce to her maximum, regulating the birthrate only by the time to recover properly physically on each occasion. Each child is more precious than all the jewels on Earth. It is a pathetic excuse to say that you can't afford to have children; the fact is that you can't afford not to. This is the first and foremost message that we must get out to our people.

Material considerations simply must not come into it; if we are not psychologically prepared to have as many children as possible under the harshest of conditions, we are finished. In any case, there has never been an easier time to raise a family. The state looks after the poorest, thinking that they are scum. Let us exploit that opportunity rather than contributing to the kitty for hand-outs to immigrants.

Mrs. JANE SMITH,
Lancs.

Editor's reply: Well spotted! The 'out of character' phrase was indeed the result of an editorial change. It certainly was not meant to endorse the common excuse of selfish and short-sighted individuals that they "can't afford children," when what they usually mean is that they can't afford to have children and two foreign holidays a year. But, for more and more families, the only way in which they can keep their own roof over their heads is for both parents to work. True, they could throw themselves on the mercy of the state and have a huge number of children at the taxpayers' expense. As Mrs. Smith rightly points out, this would be money far better spent than any use to which the liberals would otherwise put it, but such a lifestyle — adopted by choice — would also run counter to an instinct which runs nearly as deep in the European soul as survival — independence and self-reliance.

In any case, part of the process of 'globalisation' is the systematic dismantling of the welfare state. Thus the days when it was even possible to rear a large family courtesy of the state are fast drawing to a close. There is no possibility of a turn around in the suicidally low white birthrate without the political victory of racial nationalist movements which will create the economic and psychological conditions in which millions of ordinary families, not just the tiny minority of people who are at present racially-conscious, have both the desire and the economic stability to have the far larger number of children which the future survival of our race demands.

AGRICOLA and GERMANIA (Tacitus). £6.99. The great Roman historian describes our Celtic and German ancestors in about 100 AD. Two books in one volume. This ed. 1970, 175pp.

AMERICA'S DECLINE: THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.50. A collection of articles and reviews on various aspects of the collapse of modern civilisation. 1981, 375pp.

ANGLO-SAXONS, THE (ed Campbell) £15.00.

A handsome, well-illustrated book covering the history of England and the culture of its people from the 4th to 11th century, 1982 rep. 1991, 272pp

APOCALYPSE 1945: THE DESTRUCTION OF DRESDEN (David Irving) £15.00 An updated version the 1963 account of this real "war crime" — the Allied terror bombing of an undefended city, packed with refugees fleeing from rape and murder at the hands of the Red Army. 1995, xiv, 315pp.

BABYLONIAN WOE, THE (David Astle) £17.00. A study of the origin of certain financial practices and their effects on the events of ancient history, written in the light of the present day. 1975, 250pp.

BEGINNINGS OF ENGLISH HISTORY, THE (Dorothy Whitelock) £6.99. Describes Anglo-Saxon culture and organisation and relations with the Celts between the leaving of the Romans and the coming of the Normans, 1952, this ed. 1991, 256pp.

BELL CURVE (Richard J. Herrnstein & Charles Murray) £25.00. This important book, which has aroused enormous controversy in the USA, deals with differences in intellectual capacity among people and groups. 1994, xxvi, 845pp.

BOUND TO FAIL (Sundry authors) £2.00. A series of articles by various experts covering every aspect of Britain's disastrous membership of the EEC. 1987, 62pp.

BRITAIN'S FUTURE: THE MEANING OF THE MAASTRICHT TREATY (Prof. Stephen Bush) £1.25. A strong plea for British independence from EC bureaucracy. 1990 (rep. 1993), 24pp.

BRITISH ISLES, THE (Hugh Kearney) £7.95. Subtitled 'A history of four nations' this is an outline of British history by an academic historian stressing the role of the non-English parts of the British Isles. 1989, 324pp.

CALL IT CONSPIRACY (Larry Abraham) £14.00. An updating of the classic *None Dare Call It Conspiracy*. Shows how socialism is a product of the wealthy, and how money manipulation has disastrous political consequences. Author is an American geopolitical analyst. 1985, xvii, 325pp.

CAMP OF THE SAINTS, THE (Jean Raspail) £5.00. A welcome reissue of this sensational novel about the destruction of the white race by Asian immigrant invasion. Once on sale in ordinary bookshops, but now only available from the nationalist underground. 1973, 311pp.

CASE FOR DAVID IRVING, THE (Nigel Jackson) £12.50. A study of the organisations and individuals seeking to suppress the historian David Irving's freedom to travel and communicate and of the smear campaign against him. The book relates largely to Mr. Irving's efforts to get into Australia. 1994, xi, 208pp.

CASTLE OF LIES: Why Britain must get out of Europe (Christopher Booker and Richard North) £8.95. Devastating effects of European bureaucracy on our daily lives and how the truth is covered up. 1996, xii, 244pp.

CENSORED HISTORY (Eric Butler) £4.00. An examination of some of the facts of recent history that have not found their way into textbooks or newspapers. A welcome return to print. 1974, 48pp.

CHURCHILL'S GRAND ALLIANCE (John Charmley) £20.00 A study of the Anglo-American relationship 1940-57 showing the disastrous consequences of Churchill's faith in US friendship. 1995, xv, 427pp.

Prices given in each case do not include postal charges, which should be estimated by calculating one eighth the total value of the order, except in the case of overseas orders, where those about to order should first enquire. Minimum postage for UK orders is 50p.

Payment, in sterling only, should be enclosed with all orders. All cheques or postal orders should be made out to the "BNP Book Service" only, and should not be included in remittances sent to associated bodies for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if 'BNP Book Service' is marked on the envelope. Please send all orders to our mail order address: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

*New titles are marked with stars **
Please note this fact, which is of great importance: the books sold through the BNP Book Service are written by many different kinds of authors holding a number of different political viewpoints, very few of them being in any way connected with the British National Party. The party's Book Service distributes these books because it is felt that they fill important gaps in public information and understanding of vital national and international issues. This does not mean that all of what is printed in these books should necessarily be taken as representative of the policies of the British National Party. We reserve the right to differ with some of the authors on some parts of their writings.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving) £16.95. The highly controversial story of Britain's WW II leaders. Believers in the 'orthodox' version of modern history will not like this book, as it describes its central character as the man who ruined Britain and the British Empire in his insane vendetta against Germany. 1987, 591pp.

CHURCHILL: THE END OF GLORY (John Charmley) £30.00. This massive work follows in the footsteps of David Irving in his *Churchill's War* in its demolition of the myth of Churchill as a great national leader. The author is less scathing in his treatment of his subject than is Irving, even stating that Churchill was a 'great man'. Nevertheless, the book highlights our war Premier's overweening personal ambition and is particularly critical of his failure to come to an agreement with Hitler after British forces had been defeated in Europe in 1940. A valuable new contribution to revisionist history. 1993, 742pp.

COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER, THE (Correlli Barnett) £10.99. A welcome reissue of a vitally important book first published in 1972. Shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. A classic work by a mainstream historian 643pp.

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country 1966. 76pp.

CONTROVERSY OF ZION, THE (Douglas Reed) £14.50. A best seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little known and long suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

CRYING WOLF: HATE CRIME HOAXES IN AMERICA (Laird Wilcox) £13.00. A survey of over 120 cases where "race attacks" have been fabricated for political or financial advantage, 1984, 128, (20)pp.

DEADLIER THAN THE H-BOMB (Leonard Young) £2.00. Important work on the money power and its threat to the freedom of mankind. The author was a distinguished RAF officer and active nationalist. 1956, rep. 1992, 72pp.

DID SIX MILLION REALLY DIE? (Richard Harwood) £1.00. The fact-filled pamphlet which strongly refutes the official 'Holocaust' figures. An all-time Revisionist classic. 28pp.

DISCRIMINATE OR BE DAMNED! (John Fairbanks Kerr) £8.25. An Australian author describes how anti-discrimination legislation in Australia, the UK and the US has resulted in absurdities and injustices. 1995, vii, 126pp.

DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY, THE (Wilmut Robertson) £15.50. This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America and not just simply the black-white situation. 2nd edition 1981, 613pp.

EUROPE'S FULL CIRCLE: CORPORATE ELITES AND THE NEW FASCISM (Rodney Atkinson) £7.95. Controversial view of the EU, arguing that it is fascist and corporatist in inspiration. Discusses the Bilderberg Group, the CIA and internationalists such as Arnold Tynbee and Joseph Retinger. 1996, 149pp.

FABLE OF THE DUCKS AND THE HENS £5.00. A full colour cartoon story of how a hard-working society of gullible ducks is subverted and dispossessed by the crafty hens. A fable for all ages. 1996, 21pp.

FORCED WAR, THE (David L. Hoggan) £21.00. Described by Harry Elmer Barnes as "...the first thorough study of responsibility for the causes of the Second World War...the definitive revisionist work on the subject." 1989, 732pp.

FROM ROME TO MAASTRICHT (Brian Burkitt, Mark Baimbridge and Stephen Reed) £1.50. A reappraisal of Britain's membership of the European Community. 1992, 69pp.

GLOBAL TYRANNY... STEP BY STEP (William F. Jasper) £12.50. How the UN is being developed as an omnipotent global government, suppressing national identities and freedom. 1992 xvii, 350pp.

HIDDEN MENACE TO WORLD PEACE (James Gibb Stuart) £10.00. A well-known advocate of monetary reform deals also with secret politics, media censorship and the movement towards a 'new world order'. 1993, 233pp.


HITLER'S WAR (David Irving) £25.00. Revised and abridged version of *The War Path: Hitler's Germany 1933-39* and *Hitler's War* (1977 ed.). 1991, vi, 857pp.

HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY, THE (Prof. A.R. Butz) £5.95. A scientist applies clinical methods to an investigation of the 'Holocaust' and dissects a number of popular myths. 2nd ed. 1977, 315pp.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE OR NEW INQUISITION? (Alexander Baron) £8.50. Defends the rights of revisionists and exposes the lies of those seeking to suppress free enquiry on the subject. 1994, 179pp.

IDEOLOGY OF OBSESSION (David Baker) £35. Study of the life and thought of A.K. Chesterton, one of the major figures in British nationalism from the '30s to the '70s. Not a hymn of praise, but generally fair. 1996, xiii, 250pp.

(Contd. overleaf)



Classic exposure of the role of the Money Power in modern international politics

See next page

IMPERIUM (Ulrich Varange) £15.00. One of the most important nationalist philosophical books of the post-war period. The author, whose real name was Francis Parker Yockey, rejects Marx, Freud and other 'culture distorters' and demolishes the whole ideology of 'liberalism.' Originally published 1948, 625pp.

IS THERE INTELLIGENT LIFE ON EARTH? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. An entertaining survey of modern fallacies and their charlatan promoters. 1983, 94pp.

JEWISH HISTORY, JEWISH RELIGION (Israel Shahak) £11.99. An absolutely vital book by an heroic Israeli professor. This detailed examination of the deeply anti-Gentile teachings of much of Judaism is a real eye-opener. Buy it!

LAST SECRET, THE (Nicholas Bethell) £7.99. The disgraceful story of how the anti-Communist Cossacks were forcibly handed over to for mass slaughter by the Soviets between 1944 and 1947.

LEMMING FOLK, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £7.95. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashions that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

LEUCHTER REPORT, THE (Fred A. Leuchter) £5.00. The evidence on 'gas chambers' by an American engineer that rocked the Zundel trial. Leuchter effectively testified that such installations could not have existed in German occupied Europe before or during 1939-45. 1988, 66pp.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES (Alexander Baron) £4.99. An exposure of the lies and crimes of the *Searchlight* 'mole' Ray Hill and a demolition of his ridiculous book *The Other Face of Terror*. 1994, 128pp.

MATTER OF LIFE OR DEBT, A (Eric de Mare) £4.75. A distinguished architectural historian examines the flaws in our financial and banking system and offers a remedy based on the ideas of C.H. Douglas. 1983, 140pp.

MERRIE ENGLAND, 2000 (Colin Jordan) £1.00. A fictional portrayal of life at the start of the coming century, with white people under the lash of the racial 'harmonisers'. 1993, 39pp.

MONEY BOMB, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £4.25. Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

NAKED CAPITALIST, THE (W. Cleon Skousen) £4.80. A study of the political power and ambitions of America's and the world's financial capitalists and of their link-up with communism. 1970, 144pp.

NAMELESS WAR, THE (Capt. A.H.M. Ramsay) £6.50. An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War, including information on previous upheavals. The author had the honour of being imprisoned without trial for four years during World War II, despite his status as a member of parliament. Originally published 1952, 128pp.

NATURAL LAW OF RACE RELATIONS (Laszlo F. Thomay) £7.50. An examination of racial tensions in a number of areas, showing that they are based not on hate or bigotry but on natural, universal tendencies. 1993, 145pp.

NEW UNHAPPY LORDS, THE (A.K. Chesterton) £6.00. An exposure of the financial power that seeks to drag on mankind into a global police state. 1972, 288pp.

NUREMBERG: THE LAST BATTLE (David Irving) £20.00 An exposure of the hypocrisy and criminality of the Nuremberg war-crimes trials. Uses unpublished diaries and documents. 377pp.

POPULISM AND ELITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements which wield it in the present century. 1982, 101pp.

RACE (J.R. Baker) £25.00 hardback. The author, a professional zoologist for 50 years, provides an objective and scholarly account of what race means and how the concept has affected human thinking. A specialist book, but one of great importance. 1974, 625pp.

RACE, INTELLIGENCE AND EDUCATION. 50p. Produced by a group of nationalist teachers in

A detailed study of the behind-the-scenes links between international finance and Eastern Europe's seventy years of misery under Communism

See this page

WALL STREET AND THE BOLSHIEVIK REVOLUTION



reply to an NUT race-mixing booklet. Packed with facts and cogent argument. 1979, 20 A4 pages.

RACE AND POLITICS (H.B. Isherwood) 50p. Shows that a sense of racial identity is an essential element of nationhood. 1974, 36pp.

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate for many years. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

RACES OF BRITAIN, THE (John Beddoe) £17.00. A reprint of a scholarly work on the Celts, Anglo-Saxons, Vikings and Normans, with information on related peoples of Europe. 1885 rep 1983, vi, 273pp.

RACE PROBLEMS AND HUMAN PROGRESS (W.C. George) £4.00. Shows how the racial egalitarian dogma is flawed despite the influential forces promoting it. 1967, 80pp.

RECONSTRUCTION TRILOGY, THE (Thomas Dixon) £12.00. A reprint of *The Leopard's Spots*, *The Klansman* and *The Traitor*, three great novels dealing with the 'Reconstruction' of the American South after the Civil War as seen from the Southern Whites' point of view. Dixon's novels inspired the epic D.W. Griffith film *Birth of a Nation*, the race mixers' least favourite picture. 1902-1907, rep. 1994, xx, 550pp.

RESPONSE, THE (James Goldsmith) £5.99. The author answers objections from various sources to earlier book *The Trap*. 1995, 203pp.

ROTTEN HEART OF EUROPE, THE (Bernard Connolly) £17.50. A former official of the European Commission exposes the lies and deceit involved in the establishment of the ERM and the dangers involved in European monetary union and European federalism. 1995, xviii, 427pp.

SEARCHLIGHT ON A SEARCHLIAR (Alexander Baron) £2.99. A detailed, factual and annotated destruction of the pretensions of Gerry Gable, self-styled 'anti-fascist' researcher and editor of *Searchlight* magazine. 1993, 36pp. 2 copies left.

SECRET SOCIETIES AND SUBVERSIVE MOVEMENTS (Nesta Webster) £14.00. A standard authority on the secret societies undermining civilisation and Christianity in the 200 years up to the 1920s. 1924, rep. 1994, 419pp.

SELF-DETERMINATION? THE QUESTION ULSTER MUST ANSWER (Richard Cameron) £5.99. The author's solution of self-determination for Ulster under the Crown may be contentious, but the book makes plain the neglect and mismanagement to which the province has been subjected by direct rule. 1992, 192pp.

SOME TO MECCA TURN TO PRAY (Mervyn Hiskett) £12.95. An examination of Islamic values and tradition with important sections on the relations between Moslems and host societies and Islam and Zionism. 1991, 357pp.

SPEARHEAD BINDER £7.60. Made to hold 12 copies of *Spearhead* magazine. Keep your valuable collection of back-numbers in perfect condition

and ready for handy reference.

STALIN'S WAR (Ernst Topich) £13.00. A revisionist view of the origins of World War II by an Austrian professor of philosophy. 1987, 152pp.

SURVIVAL OF BRITAIN, THE (Bryan B. Monahan) £7.80. A series of articles from the *Social Creditor* dealing with political and economic threats to Britain's survival and offering solutions to the problem of inflation. 1971, viii, 124pp.

THERE IS AN ALTERNATIVE (Brian Burkitt and others) £4.50. A cost benefit analysis of the UK's membership of the EU and a description of the various alternatives to membership. 1996, 111pp.

THIS AGE OF CONFLICT (Ivor Benson) £3.20. An exposure of the illegitimate power structures that exist in today's world. 1987, 61pp.

THUS SPAKE ZARATHUSTRA (Friedrich Nietzsche) £6.99. The doctrine of racial progress and the development of the Superman. This ed. 1969, 343pp.

TO RESTRAIN THE RED HORSE: THE URGENT NEED FOR RADICAL ECONOMIC REFORM (Alan D. Armstrong) £11.95. A businessman discusses the origin of our money system, its social and ecological consequences and proposals for its reform. 1996, 137pp.

TRAP, THE (Sir James Goldsmith) £7.99. Enigmatic businessman defends the concept of the nation state and points out the perils of free trade, particularly from the far East. 1994, 216pp.

TREASON AT MAASTRICHT (Rodney Atkinson & Norris McWhirter) £3.50. A complete and detailed exposure of the illegal and unconstitutional action of the Government in signing the Treaty of Maastricht. 1994 123pp.

TRUTH OUT OF AFRICA (Ivor Benson) £4.40. Describes the effects of the 'winds of change' in Africa and the agencies responsible for the plight of that continent today. 3rd ed., 1995, xv, 92pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50. The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *The Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

VICTIM OF THE HOLOCAUST (Hans Peter Rullman) £3.50. The story of the framing of John Demjanjuk. 1987, 78pp.

WALL STREET AND THE BOLSHIEVIK REVOLUTION (Anthony C. Sutton) £6.80. The links between Wall Street finance and the Russian Revolution are proved beyond all question by an established scholar. 1974, 228pp.

WEALTH, VIRTUAL WEALTH AND DEBT (Frederick Soddy) £10.00. The author, a Nobel prize-winner in chemistry, points the way to a satisfactory and beneficial financial system. A long-established classic. 1926, rep. 1983, 320pp.

WITNESS TO HISTORY (Michael Walsh) £3.50. Large 64-page magazine format. Stunning compilation of quotes, mainly from Allied sources, which gives a very different view of WW2. 1996.

ZIONIST CONNECTION, THE (Alfred M. Lilienthal) £19.00. The second edition of a sensational exposure by a non-Zionist Jew of Zionist power politics. A real eye-opener from an author who can't be smeared 'anti-semitic' by the enemies of the truth! 1982, 904pp.

ZIONIST FACTOR, THE (Ivor Benson) £10.40. New and much improved edition of a book first published in 1986. A study of 20th century history, covering such events as the Bolshevik Revolution, the origins of WW2, the creation of Israel and the relationship between communism and capitalism. 1992, iii, 205pp.

ZIONIST TERROR NETWORK, THE £2.00. A 12 page report on the murderous activities in the United States of the Jewish Defence League.

NEW BNP BOOKLIST OUT NOW! Includes many 'politically incorrect' works which are impossible to obtain elsewhere. A copy will be sent out to everybody who orders from the smaller list published above. Or send two first-class stamps to: BNP Book Service, c/o PO Box 117, Wellington, Kent, DA16 3DW

PUBLICITY MATERIAL

Except where otherwise stated, the items listed below should be ordered from PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

BNP PUBLICATIONS

Who Are The Bend-Benders? — AS ADVERTISED ON THE COOK REPORT!

Hard-hitting and well-researched study of how a tiny minority exercises a vastly disproportionate influence over 'our' mass media. £1.30 post-free or ten pounds for ten copies post-free. Buy copies and distribute them to friends, put them in libraries, send them to police officers and magistrates — help get people talking about the great taboo of modern politics.

A New Way Forward

A summary of the main political objectives of the British National Party. 83p post-free.

Fight Back!

British National Party manifesto for the 1992 election. Policies are exactly as outlined in *A New Way Forward* (above) but with different title, cover design and introduction. 83p post-free.

Where We Stand

An abridged statement of BNP policies. Standard information brochure sent to enquirers. 33p post-free.

No to Maastricht! No to Europe!

Booklet outlining the British National Party case against the EC and in particular against the Maastricht Treaty. Produced by BNP Devon Branch in collaboration with party headquarters. 64p post-free.

The Enemy Within

Exposes the role of the BBC as a weapon of mass brainwashing. The booklet comprises the text of an official complaint by the party to the Broadcasting Complaints Commission. 56p post-free.

BNP Activists' Handbook

This is the publication that so many have been waiting for. The handbook is a comprehensive instruction course in all the essential techniques needed in promoting and building the British National Party at local branch level, starting from scratch when the activist is entirely alone. This booklet is a mine of information that all activists, old and new, will find valuable in the quest to make their activities more efficient and effective. It is a 'must' for everyone who wants to be more than just an armchair nationalist. The Handbook is illustrated and runs to 71 pages. £2.00 post-free.

BNP Propaganda handbook

Spreading the Word is a handbook on propaganda designed to supplement the *Activists' Handbook*. Illustrated, *Spreading the Word* runs to 60 pages and contains five sections: (1) General Principles of Propaganda; (2) Recruitment; (3) Canvassing for Elections; (4) Speaking to the Media; and (5) Speaking from the platform and presentation of Party meetings. Special attention is also given to dealing with enemy smears — valuable for this alone. £2.00 post-free.

VITAL!

Britain Reborn is the British National Party's 1997 general election manifesto. In 64 large pages, it examines all the problems facing our country today, and sets out the BNP policies which will meet the challenges ahead. Essential reading! Price £3.55 incl. p&p. Don't miss it — order today!



BADGES & MERCHANDISE

BNP Badges

British National Party badges with logo in red, white and blue. £1.60 post-free.

Union Jack lapel badges

£1.60 each post-free. £1.38 each post-free for 10 or more. Wear and sell anywhere.

BNP Key Rings

Key rings with attachment bearing BNP logo in red, white and blue. £1.86 post-free.

BNP Cloth Logo

BNP logo in cloth, also in red, white and blue. Ideal for sowing onto sports bags, jackets, etc. £1.60 post-free.

BNP LEAFLETS

Striking two-sided leaflet. Red, white and blue colour scheme lends visual impact to an excellent leaflet. All the best slogans are used including 'Rebuild Britain Now!' which features sections on 'Health and Welfare', 'A Decent Education', 'Say No to Europe!' and 'Rebuild Our Industry'. Other slogans used include 'Time For A New Party'; 'Join Us!'; and 'Make Britain Safe!' which focuses on crime-ridden Britain and identifies our solutions, including the rebuilding of a sense of national community — possible only in an all-white Britain! This is the fourth 'Spearhead' leaflet that the party used in the run up to the General Election and is just as useful now as it was under the Tories. £9.50 per 1,000, with postage charges of £5.15 for 1,000 and £5.80 for 2,000.

Join us! Highly successful recruiting leaflet designed for general recruitment campaigns. Gives brief account of best known BNP policies. A summons to battle!

Defend Britain's Fishermen! Attacks the betrayal of the fishing industry. Especially suitable for giving out in fishing areas.

Hang Child Murderers! Calls for the death penalty for the killing of little children — especially topical following cases such as the murder of little Daniel Handley by homosexual perverts.

NEW!!! Anti-Tory leaflet. Aimed especially at disillusioned Tory voters and activists, explaining why their party lost the election and must now be abandoned. To be followed by an anti-Labour equivalent as soon as Blair's electoral honeymoon is over!

These leaflets cost £5.50 per 1,000, with postage charges of £5.15 for 1,000 and £5.80 for 2,000.

Regular leafletting is the key to increased recruitment. These are first class propaganda weapons, use them to build the British Resistance!

BNP VIDEO RECORDINGS

Rally '95. Video of the BNP Annual Rally, staged in London on November 11th 1995. Hear and see speeches by John Morse, Richard Edmonds, Thomas Andersson, Michael Newland, Dr. William Pierce and John Tyndall. *Video of Rally '95 costs £15.83 including p&p.*

Rally '94. Video of the BNP Annual Rally, staged near London on November 5th 1994. Speeches by David Bruce, Richard Edmonds, Gunther Deckert, Claude Cornilleau, Michael Newland and John Tyndall.

Rally '93. Video of the BNP Annual Rally, staged just outside London on November 6th 1993. Hear and see speeches by John Morse, David Bruce, Kirk Lyons, Claude Cornilleau and John Tyndall.

Rally '92. Video of BNP Annual Rally in London on October 24th 1992. Hear and see speeches by Syd Carthew, John Peacock, Ken Henderson, Claude Cornilleau, David Bruce, Sam Dickson, Richard Edmonds and John Tyndall.

Rally '91. Video of BNP Annual Rally in London on October 12th 1991. Hear and see speeches by Steve Tyler, Scott McLean, Ken Henderson, Eddy Butler, Tony Wells, Dr. Donald Clarke, Richard Edmonds and John Tyndall.

Videos of Rally '87, Rally '89 and Rally '90 are also available. All feature a wide range of speakers, including John Tyndall, Richard Edmonds and David Bruce, plus some excellent contributions from local BNP officials and guest speakers.

Videos of Rally '87 to Rally '94 cost just £13.05 incl. p&p. All are ideal to show to family or friends, or for use at local BNP meetings if a main speaker is not available. Use them to help speed up the political education of new recruits, or to inspire 'old hands' to that vital bit of extra effort. TV is a powerful weapon — use it to help build the BNP!

RALLY '96-97



Video-recording of the British National Party Annual Rally, January 25th 1997

Hear:-

Dr. DONALD STEVENS
JOSÉ RAMOS
NICK GRIFFIN
RICHARD EDMONDS
JOHN TYNDALL

The BNP's Rally '97. As advertised on TV! You've seen the trailer, now watch the whole event. Great speeches made in an electrified atmosphere. Rally '97 costs just £15.83 incl. p&p.

BNP AUDIO TAPES

Rally '95. Tape-recording of the BNP Annual Rally, staged in London on November 11th 1995. Hear speeches by John Morse, Richard Edmonds, Thomas Andersson, Michael Newland, Dr. William Pierce and John Tyndall. £6.00 plus 36p p&p.

BEST-SELLING TAPE! Two recorded talks by John Tyndall: *The BNP: a movement for the new century.* An exposition of the main BNP policies; *Time for action!* A summons to the lazy and the apathetic to stir themselves and get involved! £6.00 plus 36p p&p.

ALLIED PUBLICATIONS

THE TRUTH AT LAST

Hard-hitting tabloid for American and other White Race patriots. *Truth At Last* is not a BNP publication but the topics are most enlightening covered as they are with total freedom of speech and frankness. Send £5 note for trial 6 month subscription. Obtainable from: *Truth At Last*, PO Box 1211, Marietta, Georgia 30061, U.S.A.

NATIONAL VANGUARD

Serious and thoughtful magazine produced by Dr. William L. Pierce of the National Alliance but of interest to white people all over the world. Appears irregularly. Send US\$5.50 for sample. 6 issue subscription: air mail US\$30; surface mail US\$18. Remit in US currency only. PO Box 330, Hillsboro, WV 24946, USA.

EXCELLENT MEETINGS IN MIDLANDS

If the red thugs who attacked John Tyndall and his wife in East London on the 20th September thought that they were going to put the BNP leader out of action for a while, they would have been forced to think again the following weekend.

Just six days afterwards, on September 26th, Mr. Tyndall drove up to the Midlands to speak at two highly successful meetings. The first, on the evening of the 26th in a smart hotel room near Nottingham, was attended by about 50 people, including many whose interest in the BNP had been aroused by the party's election broadcast in April. The main thrust of Mr. Tyndall's speech was that Britain in the 1990s had become like a lunatic asylum in which the inmates had taken charge. He spoke of local authorities lavishing taxpayers' money on sexual perverts; the British Tourist Authority being ashamed of the Union Flag; the Government sitting down and negotiating with the allies of the IRA; and British troops being sent to former Yugoslavia, where no British interest was in the remotest way at stake.

Government 'insanity' slammed

This insanity, he said, was rife quite regardless of what government was in office; 'New Labour' was just continuing where the Tories had left off.

Before Mr. Tyndall's speech, an interesting local report was given by Mansfield Organiser Steve Belshaw. The meeting was chaired by East Midlands Regional Organiser John Peacock and a superb collection raised £270.

On the following Saturday afternoon, the 27th, Mr. Tyndall spoke at a meeting attended by nearly 80 near Birmingham, organised by the party's West Midlands Region. He chose the occasion and location to speak of Joseph Chamberlain, whom he described as "a great man of the Midlands." Chamberlain's works, first as

Mayor of Birmingham, and later as an advocate of Tariff Reform, whereby British manufacturing industry would be protected against foreign produce in the home market, might serve as models for today.

The meeting was chaired by West Midlands Regional Organiser Keith Axon.



East Midlands meeting: John Tyndall is speaking. In centre is chairman John Peacock. On left is Steve Belshaw.

How to obtain *Spearhead*

Don't miss your monthly copy of *Spearhead* — Britain's premier nationalist magazine. Becoming a subscriber guarantees that you'll keep your collection up to date with regular copies 'hot off the press.' And income from subscriptions helps to maintain *Spearhead's* long record of frank and fearless comment on the state of Britain and the world. So subscribe today!

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick box where applicable):

British Isles: £22.50 || Overseas surface mail: £24.60 || Unsealed air mail Europe: £25.66 || Unsealed air mail Middle East, Africa, N & S America: £32.30 || Unsealed air mail Australasia, Far East: £33.90 ||

PLEASE NOTE: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If remittance is in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies: £1.10 each; 20-49 copies: £1.00 each; 50-99 copies: 83p each; 100-199 copies: 69p each; 200-499 copies: 60p each; 500 copies or over: 55p each (For advice on postal rates please contact our office).

All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please do not include a payment for *Spearhead* in a remittance which includes payment for other items.

BUILD THE BNP
READ AND SELL

BRITISH NATIONALIST

The monthly official BNP newspaper. Eight big pages packed with news and facts the controlled mass media don't want you to get to know about. 'Stop the race attacks', urges the latest issue's front page, focusing on the near murder of young Daniel Moore in Hounslow. Another shocking feature exposes the paedophile rapist and killer who is about to be freed from prison even though police believe him to have been involved in the sex-murders of 18 children.

**British Nationalist —
the Voice of Britain!**

For sample copy, bulk rates and subscription details, send 3 first class stamps or 2 IRCs to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

British National Party

ANNUAL RALLY

Sat., November 22nd

Start: 2.30 p.m.

SPEAKERS WILL INCLUDE:-

NICK GRIFFIN

RICHARD EDMONDS

JOHN TYNDALL

As is customary, for reasons of security the venue is not being disclosed here. All those wishing to attend should arrange to travel to the rally with their local unit of the BNP. Those not regularly in touch with their local party unit should contact the party's box number well before the event and give their name, address and telephone number so that the local organiser may inform them of travelling arrangements.

A social event will be staged in the evening following the rally. Details to be given at the rally.

CANDOUR. British views letter, founded in 1953 by A.K. Chesterton to defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance. *Subscription: UK £15 per year; Overseas £20 per year; USA \$30 per year.* Forest House, Liss Forest, Hants, GU33 7DD.

FOURTEEN WORDS (U.K.)

AT LAST! Official U.K. distributors of videos and other items produced by the 14 Word Press. Watch videos of the late Robert Mathews and other former members of 'The Order', including dramatic footage of their final heroic confrontation with the 'Feds.' Send 2 x 1st class stamps for list to:-

PO BOX 1014, COVENTRY, CV6 4YS

Election Loans Fund — £4,011 still needed

Thanks to a number of donations, a total of £475 was raised last month to help to repay loans made by supporters to cover a backlog of printing bills incurred in the fighting of the general election. As this issue goes to press the party has therefore reduced the outstanding amount to £4,011. This is more than halfway to our original target of £8,000.

As new members are welcomed and new units established, it is clear beyond doubt that the decision to stretch the party to its utmost in order to fight its most ambitious election campaign ever was correct. The BNP is therefore not ashamed to ask for your help in clearing these remaining debts.

With the welcome and far-sighted announcement that the party will be contesting the Euro-elections in 1999, it is vital that the Election Loans Fund is brought to a successful close as quickly as possible. Please give as generously as possible.

Please make all donations payable to 'British National Party Election Loans Fund'. Please post to: c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Receipts will not be sent for contributions of under £10 unless donors enclose SAE.

IAN BUCKLEY points out that WE ONLY WANT TO BE LEFT ALONE

PEOPLE sometimes project their own worse fears and faults onto others. The old saying was that an accuser often hides a bad conscience. Never was this statement more true than in relation to the race industry.

I like to collect and compare press clippings, and see what they say about the world around us. Some clippings say that Commissions for Racial Equality and the like invariably have a rabbi on the board ; but I also read that rabbis equally invariably refuse to take part in interfaith (interracial?) marriages. How strange — or perhaps not so strange. For the exclusivity of a jealous tribal God, separate customs and dietary regulations all acted together to keep the race intact throughout long periods of dispersal. Hostility to those outside the tribe ensured the survival of the tribe. All perfectly understandable — love your enemy when you're desert wanderers and you're soon extinct.

But this was never the European way. Such things were beyond our ken. We did not want or ask for hatred of other races, we wanted merely to grow and develop in our own way. We wanted to be left alone. Even when we sought new worlds overseas, our dream was empty land for our ploughs and livestock. Only the merchants and the bankers wanted to make slaves of other peoples when they found us too restive under their yoke. If we were still masters of our own destiny, millions would dwell on family farms or in villages and small towns. Our cities would be small and clean; places of art, learning and technical research, not polluted monstrosities where the computers and fax machines sleep with the air-conditioning and plumbing while the abandoned children of plutocracy camp out in cardboard boxes.

We want none of it. No more than poet Edward Thomas wanted to be mown down in the industrial-scale slaughter of the First World War, waged for no other purpose than to tighten the hold of profit, capital and usury. He wanted to be left alone, to wander the hills, woods and heaths of England, to write and think, to spend time with his Helen.

No more did we want a second futile slaughter of the European nations. This Remembrance Day, as always, we remember those who fell in what they believed was a fight for freedom. Fifty-odd years ago there was fire and steel at El Alamein, but now the ageing veterans hear their television sets blare:-

Where was the Battle of El Alamein fought? Was it (a) Italy (b) Egypt (c) India? Ring 0891 666666 Calls charged 50p a minute. Lines open till midnight Thursday

Victory for the 0891 number! We want none of it. We wanted, and still want, to be left alone, to develop in peace, free from imposed and imported delusions. Free from the brutal vulgarity of the 'modernisers.'

To the 'respectable' citizen who may be afraid of becoming involved with us, we say the following:-

All decent fundamental values have been shattered, largely under a party that is the lackey of that ultimate enemy of tradition, the Money Power, but which still has the temerity to call itself 'Conservative.' The safe, steady 9-5 job is extinct. The two-parent family, with working husband and non-working wife, is dying too. Your children face an uncertain and threatening future, and your grandchildren even more so. You must make a stand now for your future. You will not be left alone by the ravening monsters of change and globalisation. Make a stand or be swept away. You must join our fight for the right to be left alone.

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY

For more information send 50p,
or a larger donation, to:-
The Secretary
c/o P.O. Box 117,
WELLING
KENT DA16 3DW

☎ 0374-454893

🌐 <http://www.webcom.com/bnp>

Name.....

Address.....

.....

I enclose